| 1 | THOMAS L. SANSONETTI Assistant Attorney General | DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney | |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 2 3 | Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice | Central District of California LEON W. WEIDMAN Chief, Civil Division | |
| 3 | STEVEN O'ROURKE | ŕ | |
| 4 | Environmental Enforcement Section Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice | SUZETTE CLOVER Assistant United States Attorne 300 North Los Angeles Street | |
| 5 | United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 | Los Angeles, California 90012 | |
| 6 | Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Telephone: (202) 514-2779 | Telephone: (213) 894-2442 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of Americ | ca | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of the State of California | | |
| 11 | RICHARD M. FRANK Chief Assistant Attorney General | | |
| 12 | THEODORA BERGER Assistant Attorney General ANN RUSHTON | | |
| 13 | Deputy Attorney General | | |
| 14 | 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90013 | | |
| | Telephone: (213) 897-2608 | | |
| 15 | Attorneys for Plaintiff California Department of Toxic Substances Control | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | IMITED STATE | C DICTRICT COURT | |
| 18 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 19 | WESTER | RN DIVISION | |
| 20 | | , | |
| 21 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., | | |
| 22 | Plaintiffs, | CITATI A CITICAL NIC | |
| 23 | v. | CIVIL ACTION NO. | |
| 24 | ADAMS FAMILY TRUST, et al., | CONSENT DECREE | |
| 25 | Defendants. | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| | II | | |

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2 3 BACKGROUND JURISDICTION4 Π 4 Ш PARTIES BOUND4 IV DEFINITIONS 5 5 V VI PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY PERFORMING SETTLING DEFENDANT(S) 12 6 VШ VIII 7 IX X XI 8 XII 9 XIII XIV XV10 XVI XVII 11 XVIII 12 XIX DISPUTE RESOLUTION41 XXSTIPULATED PENALTIES44 XXI 13 XXII 14 XXIII XXIV ACCESS TO INFORMATION55 15 XXV XXVI 16 XXVIIEFFECTIVE DATE58 XXVШ 17 XXIX XXX 18 XXXI XXXII 19 XXXIII XXXIV 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

I. BACKGROUND

- A. The United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6973.
- B. The United States in its complaint seeks, inter alia: (1) reimbursement of costs incurred by EPA and the Department of Justice for response actions at the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Area 1 Superfund Site in El Monte, Los Angeles County, California, together with accrued interest; and (2) performance of studies and response work by the defendants at the Site consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (as amended) ("NCP").
- C. The State of California ("State"), on behalf of the State Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC"), also filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607. DTSC in its complaint seeks judgment against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all costs incurred by DTSC including legal expenses and interest, in connection with a release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites. DTSC also seeks declaratory judgment pursuant to § 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), that the defendants, jointly and severally, are liable for all future response costs to be incurred by DTSC at this site.
- D. In accordance with Section 122(j)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(1), EPA notified the United States Department of the Interior and the National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration, federal natural resource trustees, on July 12, 2001 of negotiations with potentially responsible parties regarding the release of hazardous substances that may have resulted in injury to the natural resources under Federal trusteeship and encouraged the trustee(s) to participate in the negotiation of this Consent Decree.
- E. Settling Defendants do not admit any liability to the Plaintiffs arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the complaint, nor do they admit that the release or

threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site constitutes an imminent or substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment.

- F. Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, EPA placed the Site on the National Priorities List, set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on October 15, 1984, 49 Fed. Reg. 40320.
- G. In response to a release or a substantial threat of a release of a hazardous substance(s) at or from the Site, a group of potentially responsible parties commenced in March 1995, a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") for the Site pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.430.
- H. The group completed the Remedial Investigation Report in April 1998 and the Feasibility Study Report in July 1998.
- I. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the Feasibility Study and of the proposed plan for remedial action in October 1998, in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action and conducted a public meeting to discuss the proposed plan in November 1998. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action.
- J. On July 12, 2001, EPA sent special notice letters in accordance with Section 122 of CERCLA to the Settling Defendants as well as other potentially responsible parties ("PRPs"). These special notice letters solicited a good faith offer from the PRPs to implement the remedial design and remedial action for the Site. The list of recipients of the special notice letters is attached hereto as Appendix I. EPA considers the PRPs, other than the Settling Defendants, listed in Appendix F to be recalcitrant parties that have failed to cooperate with EPA.
- K. The decision by EPA on the interim remedial action to be implemented at the Site is embodied in an Interim Record of Decision ("IROD"), executed on June 23, 1999, on which DTSC has given its concurrence. The IROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of

CERCLA. In August 2002, EPA issued an Explanation of Significant Differences ("ESD") modifying the IROD.

- L. Based on the information presently available to EPA and DTSC, EPA and DTSC believe that the Work will be properly and promptly conducted by the Performing Settling Defendants if conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Consent Decree and its appendices.
- M. This Consent Decree provides for performance of the entire remedy selected in the IROD and ESD. The Settling Defendants have reached an agreement among themselves, separate from this Consent Decree, on an allocation of certain obligations imposed by this Consent Decree. The United States, to facilitate settlement of potential contribution claims among the Settling Defendants and to expedite the implementation of the Remedial Action selected in the IROD and ESD, has agreed to terms in this Consent Decree that implement the Settling Defendants' allocation. The United States does not, however, waive its position that the harm at the Site is not divisible and that the Settling Defendants' liability is not apportionable.
- N. Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, the Remedial Action selected by the IROD, as supplemented by the ESD, and the Work to be performed by the Performing Settling Defendants shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President.
- O. The United States has reviewed the financial information submitted by the Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants to determine whether the Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants are financially able to pay response costs incurred and to be incurred at the Site. Based upon this financial information, the United States has determined that the Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants are able to pay the amounts required under this Consent Decree.
- P. The Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, 9613(b), and 6973. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaint, Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendants shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

III. PARTIES BOUND

- 2. This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the United States, DTSC, and upon Settling Defendants and their heirs, successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate status of a Settling Defendant including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter such Settling Defendant's responsibilities under this Consent Decree.
- ach contractor hired to perform the Work required by this Consent Decree and to each person representing any Performing Settling Defendant with respect to the Site or the Work and shall condition all contracts entered into hereunder upon performance of the Work in conformity with the terms of this Consent Decree. Performing Settling Defendant(s) or their contractors shall provide written notice of the Consent Decree to all subcontractors hired to perform any portion of the Work required by this Consent Decree. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall nonetheless be responsible for ensuring that their respective contractors and subcontractors perform the Work contemplated herein in accordance with this Consent Decree. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be in a contractual relationship with the respective Performing Settling Defendant(s) within the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3).

IV. **DEFINITIONS**

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in the appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply:

"Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants" shall mean those Settling Defendants identified in Appendix F (List of Settling Defendants and Defendant Subgroups) as Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants.

"Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, including accrued Interest, that the United States or the DTSC has paid or in the future pays for basin-wide (non-operable unit) response actions in connection with the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites, Areas 1-4, that have been, or in the future are, allocated to the Site.

"CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601, et seq.

"Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall mean this Decree and all appendices attached hereto (listed in Section XXIX). In the event of conflict between this Decree and any appendix, this Decree shall control.

"Contributing Settling Defendants" shall mean those Settling Defendants identified in Appendix F (List of Settling Defendants and Defendant Subgroups) as Contributing Settling Defendants.

"Day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a Working Day. "Working Day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next Working Day.

"DTSC" shall mean the California Department of Toxic Substances Control and any successor departments or agencies.

"DTSC Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs and all past Basin-Wide Response Costs, together with accrued Interest, that DTSC, and the State on behalf of DTSC, have paid through June 30, 2003, in response to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Site, but not including amounts reimbursed to DTSC by EPA.

"DTSC Future Response Costs" shall mean all costs that are incurred by DTSC for response actions with respect to the Site after June 30, 2003, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs that DTSC incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, or otherwise implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, and laboratory costs. DTSC Future Response Costs shall not include any Basin-Wide response Costs.

"East Side Future Response Costs" shall mean those Future Response Costs and DTSC Future Response Costs associated with the East Side Work.

"East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s)" shall mean those Parties identified in Appendix F (List of Defendants and Defendant Subgroups) as East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s).

"East Side SOW" shall mean the RD/RA statement of work for implementation of the Remedial Design, Remedial Action and Operation and Maintenance at the Eastern Shallow and South East Deep Portions at the Site, as set forth in Appendix C and any modifications made in accordance with this Decree.

"East Side Work" shall mean the East Side Shallow and South East Deep remedies, described in the Eastside SOW. The East Side Work includes all requirements of this Decree associated with such Work.

"Effective Date" shall be the effective date of this Consent Decree as provided in Paragraph 116.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United States.

"Explanation of Significant Differences" or "ESD" shall mean the Explanation of Significant differences relating to the Site issued by EPA in August 2002. The ESD is attached as Appendix B.

"Future Response Costs" shall mean all costs that are incurred by the United States for response actions with respect to the Site after the Effective Date, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs that the United States incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, or otherwise implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, the costs incurred pursuant to Sections VII, IX (including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and any monies paid to secure access), XV, and Paragraph 95 ("Work Takeover") of Section XXI. Future Response Costs shall not include any Basin-Wide response Costs.

"IROD" shall mean the Interim Record of Decision relating to the El Monte Operable
Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites signed on June 23, 1999 by the Regional
Administrator, EPA Region 9, or his/her delegate, and all attachments thereto. The IROD is
attached as Appendix A.

"Interest," shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of interest shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of interest is subject to change on October 1 of each year.

"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

"Operation and Maintenance" or "O & M" shall mean all activities required to maintain the effectiveness of the Remedial Action as required, respectively, under the East Side and the West Side Operation and Maintenance Plans approved or developed by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree and the applicable SOW.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an arabic numeral or an upper case letter.

"Parties" shall mean the United States, DTSC, and the Settling Defendants.

"Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that the United States incurred for response actions in connection with the Site, and all past Basin-Wide Response Costs, occurring prior to and including the Effective Date.

"Performance Standards" shall have the same meaning as "Performance Criteria," as that term is utilized in Section 11.1 of the IROD, as supplemented by the ESD.

"Performing Settling Defendant(s)" shall mean, with respect to the East Side Work, the East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s); and with respect to the West Side Work, the West Side Performing Settling Defendant. Notwithstanding that provisions of this Consent Decree refer to "Performing Settling Defendant(s)," those provisions are intended to and shall be implemented separately with respect to the East Side Work and the West Side Work and shall be read as if they referred separately to the East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s) or the West Side Performing Settling Defendant, as applicable.

"Plaintiffs" shall mean the United States and DTSC.

"Project Coordinator" shall mean the persons designated by East Side Performing Settling Defendants and West Side Performing Settling Defendant, respectively, pursuant to Section XII hereof.

"RCRA" shall mean the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901 et seq. (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

"Remedial Action" shall mean those activities, except for Operation and Maintenance, to be undertaken by Performing Settling Defendant(s) to implement the IROD, as supplemented by the ESD, in accordance with the applicable SOW and the applicable final Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan and other plans approved by EPA.

"Remedial Design/Remedial Action (RD/RA) Work Plan" or "RD/RA Work Plan" shall mean the document developed pursuant to Paragraph 11 of this Consent Decree and approved by EPA with respect to, respectively, the East Side Work and the West Side Work, and any

amendments thereto.

"Remedial Design" shall mean those activities to be undertaken by Performing Settling Defendant(s) to develop the final plans and specifications for the Remedial Action pursuant to the Remedial Design Work Plan.

"Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral.

"Settling Defendants" shall mean all those Parties identified as "Settling Defendants" in Appendix F (Lists of Defendants) and, unless otherwise specifically excluded in this Consent Decree, (i) where the Settling Defendant is a corporate entity, its officers, directors and shareholders acting in their capacity as such, and corporate predecessors, successors and parent(s), (ii) where the Settling Defendant is a partnership, its partners, (iii) where the Settling Defendant is an individual, that individual's heirs, and (iv) where the Settling Defendant is a trust, that trust's trustees and beneficiaries, but only to the extent that any person or entity within categories (i), (ii), (iii) or (iv) above has no independent liability for the Site other than the liability derived from that person's or entity's relationship to or affiliation with the Settling Defendant, as specified.

"Site" shall mean the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Area 1
Superfund Site, in El Monte, Los Angeles County, California and depicted generally on the map attached as Appendix E.

"State" shall mean the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC").

"Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the East Side SOW with respect to the East Side Work and the West Side SOW with respect to the West Side Work.

"Supervising Contractor" shall mean the respective principal contractor retained by each of the Performing Settling Defendant(s) to supervise and direct the implementation of the Work under this Consent Decree.

"United States" shall mean the United States of America.

"Waste Material" shall mean (1) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (2) any pollutant or contaminant under Section 101(33), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33); (3) any "solid waste" under Section 1004(27) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C.

§ 6903(27); and (4) any "hazardous material" under the California Hazardous Waste Control Act Section 25100 et seq.

"West Side Future Response Costs" shall mean those Future Response Costs and DTSC Future Response Costs associated with the West Side Work.

"West Side Performing Settling Defendant" shall mean Hermetic Seal Corporation.

"West Side SOW" shall mean the RD/RA statement of work for the implementation of the Remedial Design, Remedial Action, and Operation and Maintenance at the Western Shallow and Northwestern Deep Portions at the Site, as set forth in Appendix D and any modifications made in accordance with this Decree.

"West Side Work" shall mean the West Shallow and Northwest Deep remedies, described in the Westside SOW. The West Side Work includes all requirements of this Consent Decree associated with such Work.

"Work" shall mean all activities Performing Settling Defendant(s) are respectively required to perform under this Consent Decree, except those required by Section XXV (Retention of Records). "Work" shall mean the East Side Work with respect to the East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s) and the West Side Work with respect to the West Side Performing Settling Defendant.

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 5. Objectives of the Parties. The objectives of the Parties in entering into this
 Consent Decree are to protect public health and welfare and the environment at the Site by the
 design and implementation of response actions at the Site by Performing Settling Defendant(s),
 to reimburse response costs of the Plaintiffs, and to resolve the claims of Plaintiffs set forth in the
 Complaint against Settling Defendants as provided in this Consent Decree.
 - 6. <u>Commitments by Settling Defendants.</u>
- a. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall finance and perform the Work in accordance with this Consent Decree, the IROD (as supplemented by the ESD), the SOW, and all work plans and other plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth herein or developed by Performing Settling Defendant(s) and approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree.

Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall also reimburse the United States and DTSC for their respective Past Response Costs and Future Response Costs as provided in this Consent Decree; provided, however, that any failure or refusal by the West Side Performing Settling Defendant to comply with this Consent Decree or to pay West Side Past or Future Response Costs shall not require East Side Performing Settling Defendants to perform the West Side Work or to pay West Side Past or Future Response Costs; and any failure or refusal by the East Side Performing Settling Defendant to comply with this Consent Decree or to pay East Side Past or Future Response Costs shall not require West Side Performing Settling Defendant to perform the East Side Work or to pay East Side Past or Future Response Costs.

- b. Performing Settling Defendant(s)' obligations under this Decree shall be independent of and unaffected by any nonperformance by Contributing Settling Defendants or Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants and shall remain in full force and effect regardless of whether Contributing Settling Defendants or Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants have complied with their obligations under this Decree. The respective obligations of the East Side Performing Settling Defendants and the West Side Performing Settling Defendant under this Decree shall be independent of and unaffected by any nonperformance by the other subgroup of Performing Settling Defendant(s) of their obligation under this Decree and shall remain in full force and effect regardless whether such other subgroup has complied with its obligations under this Decree.
- c. Contributing Settling Defendants shall make payments to Performing Settling Defendant(s) in accordance with Appendix H. Evidence of payment of these required amounts by Contributing Settling Defendants shall be provided to EPA by either the relevant Performing Settling Defendant receiving such amount(s) or any escrow agent charged by any group of Contributing Settling Defendants to transfer such amount(s) to a Performing Settling Defendant. At such time as a Performing Settling Defendant or escrow agent provides such evidence of payment by one or more of the Contributing Settling Defendants of all monies necessary to satisfy their obligations to the relevant Performing Settling Defendant, such Contributing Settling Defendant(s) shall have no further payment obligations under this Consent

Decree except as otherwise specifically set forth in this Decree.

- d. At such time as the Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants have paid EPA all monies necessary to satisfy their obligations pursuant to Paragraph 54, the Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants shall have no further obligations under this Consent Decree except as otherwise specifically set forth in this Decree.
- 7. Compliance With Applicable Law. All activities undertaken by Performing Settling Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable federal and state laws and regulations. Performing Settling Defendant(s) must also comply with all applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of all Federal and state environmental laws as set forth in the IROD, ESD, and the SOW. The activities conducted pursuant to this Consent Decree, if approved by EPA, shall be considered to be consistent with the NCP.

8. Permits.

- a. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA and Section 300.400(e) of the NCP, no permit shall be required for any portion of the Work conducted entirely on-site (i.e., within the areal extent of contamination or in very close proximity to the contamination and necessary for implementation of the Work). Where any portion of the Work that is not on-site requires a federal or state permit or approval, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals.
- b. Performing Settling Defendant(s) may seek relief under the provisions of Section XVIII (Force Majeure) of this Consent Decree for any delay in the performance of the Work resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit required for the Work.
- c. This Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation.
 - 9. Intentionally Blank.

VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY PERFORMING SETTLING DEFENDANT(S)

10. Selection of Supervising Contractor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- a. All aspects of the Work to be performed by Performing Settling Defendant(s) pursuant to Sections VI (Performance of the Work by Performing Settling Defendant(s)), VII (Remedy Review), VIII (Quality Assurance, Sampling and Data Analysis), and XV (Emergency Response) of this Consent Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of the respective Supervising Contractor(s), the selection of which shall be subject to disapproval by EPA. Within 90 days after the lodging of this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall notify EPA in writing of the name, title, and qualifications of any contractor proposed to be the Supervising Contractor(s). With respect to any contractor proposed to be a Supervising Contractor, the respective Performing Settling Defendant shall demonstrate that the proposed contractor has a quality system that complies with ANSI/ASOC E4-1994, "Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs," (American National Standard, January 5, 1995), by submitting a copy of the proposed contractor's Quality Management Plan (QMP). The QMP should be prepared in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)" (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA will issue a notice of disapproval or an authorization to proceed. If at any time thereafter, a Performing Settling Defendant proposes to change its Supervising Contractor, such Performing Settling Defendant shall give such notice to EPA and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA, before the new Supervising Contractor performs, directs, or supervises any Work under this Consent Decree.
- b. If EPA disapproves a proposed Supervising Contractor, EPA will notify the relevant Performing Settling Defendant in writing. The Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA a list of contractors, including the qualifications of each contractor, that would be acceptable to them within 30 days of receipt of EPA's disapproval of the contractor previously proposed. EPA will provide written notice of the names of any contractor(s) that it disapproves and an authorization to proceed with respect to any of the other contractors. The Performing

c. If EPA fails to provide written notice of its authorization to proceed or disapproval as provided in this Paragraph and this failure prevents a Performing Settling Defendant from meeting one or more deadlines in a plan approved by the EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, the Performing Settling Defendant may seek relief under the provisions of Section XVIII (Force Majeure) hereof, but only if such failure relates to the Supervising Contractor retained by that Performing Settling Defendant.

11. Remedial Design/Remedial Action.

- a. As specified in the approved schedules set forth in Section V of each SOW, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit to EPA a work plan for the Remedial Design and Remedial Action at the Site ("RD/RA Work Plan"). The RD/RA Work Plan shall provide for design, construction, and implementation of the remedy set forth in the IROD and the ESD and achievement of the Performance Standards, in accordance with this Consent Decree, the IROD and the ESD, the SOW, and the design plans and specifications developed in accordance with the RD/RA Work Plan and approved by EPA. Upon its approval by EPA, the RD/RA Work Plan shall be incorporated into and become enforceable under this Consent Decree.
- b. The RD/RA Work Plan shall include plans and schedules for implementation of all remedial design, pre-design tasks, and remedial action tasks identified in the SOW, including, but not limited to, the following: 1) treatability studies; 2) acquisition of permits, property, leases, easements, and agreements required for implementation of the RA (including the use of any existing facilities); 3) submittal of a Conceptual Design/Preliminary Design; 4) submittal of a Pre-Final Design and a Final Design; 5) submittal of a Construction Quality Assurance Plan; 6) submittal of a Sampling and Analysis Plan or addendum to an existing plan (including, but not limited to, a Remedial Design Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) in accordance with Section VIII (Quality Assurance, Sampling and Data Analysis)); 7) submittal of an Operation and Maintenance Manual; 8) submittal of a Performance Standards

Evaluation Plan; and 9) submittal of a Final Remedy Evaluation Plan.

- c. Upon approval of the RD/RA Work Plan by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, and submittal of the Health and Safety Plan for all field activities to EPA, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall implement the RD/RA Work Plan. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit to EPA all plans, submittals and other deliverables required under the approved RD/RA Work Plan in accordance with the approved schedule for review and approval pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions). Unless otherwise approved by EPA, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall not commence further Remedial Design activities at the Site prior to approval of the RD/RA Work Plan.
- d. The Conceptual Design/Preliminary Design submittal shall include, at a minimum, the following: 1) a detailed design basis, which presents and justifies the concepts, assumptions, standards, and preliminary interpretations and calculations that will be used in the design; 2) an updated construction schedule; 3) Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) and/or agreements between Performing Settling Defendant(s) and other entities expected to participate in the implementation of the remedy; 4) MOUs and/or agreements between Performing Settling Defendant(s) and other entities for use or disposition of treated groundwater; 5) an update on efforts to acquire permits, regulatory agency approvals, MOUs, access or use agreements, easements, third party agreements, and properties needed for construction or operation; 6) results of any treatability studies not previously submitted to EPA; 7) results of any additional field sampling and pre-design work; 8) preliminary plans, drawings, and sketches of groundwater extraction, treatment, conveyance and monitoring systems; and (9) an outline of required specifications.
- f. The Pre-Final Design shall be a draft version of the Final Design. The Pre-Final Design submittal shall include, at a minimum, the following: (1) updates or changes to the Conceptual/Preliminary Design submittal; (2) a capital and operation and maintenance cost estimate; (3) reproducible drawings and specifications; and (4) a complete set of construction drawings in full and one-half size reduction.

in Section V of each SOW, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall also submit the following planning documents: 1) Operation and Maintenance Manual; 2) Construction Quality Assurance Plan ("CQAP"); 3) Performance Standards Evaluation Plan (Compliance Monitoring Plan - directed at measuring progress towards meeting Performance Standards); 4) a Health and Safety Plan for field activities required by the RD/RA Work Plan which conforms to the applicable Occupational Safety and Health Administration and EPA requirements including, but not limited to, 29 C.F.R Section 1910.120; and 5) a Construction Health and Safety Plan. The CQAP shall describe the approach to quality assurance during construction activities at the Site and shall specify a quality assurance official ("QA Official"), independent of the Supervising Contractor, to conduct a quality assurance program during the construction phase of the project.

During the design period, as specified in the approved schedules set forth

12. Intentionally Blank.

g.

- 13. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall continue to implement the Remedial Action and O&M until the Performance Standards are achieved and for so long thereafter as is otherwise required under this Consent Decree.
 - 14. Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans.
- a. If EPA determines that modification to the work specified in the SOW and/or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW is necessary to achieve and maintain the Performance Standards, EPA may require that such modification be incorporated in the SOW and/or such work plans, provided, however, that a modification may only be required pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that it is consistent with the scope of the remedy selected in the IROD as supplemented by the ESD.
- b. If Performing Settling Defendant(s) objects to any modification determined by EPA to be necessary pursuant to this Paragraph, they may seek dispute resolution pursuant to Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 75 (record review). The SOW and/or related work plans shall be modified in accordance with final resolution of the dispute.
- c. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall implement any work required by any modifications incorporated in the SOW and/or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW

in accordance with this Paragraph.

- d. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to limit EPA's authority to require performance of further response actions as otherwise provided in this Consent Decree.
- 15. Performing Settling Defendant(s) acknowledge and agree that nothing in this Consent Decree, the SOW, or the Remedial Design or Remedial Action Work Plans constitutes a warranty or representation of any kind by Plaintiffs that compliance with the work requirements set forth in the SOW and the Work Plans will achieve the Performance Standards.
- 16. a. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall, prior to any off-Site shipment of Waste Material from the Site to an out-of-state waste management facility, provide written notification to the appropriate state environmental official in the receiving facility's state and to the EPA Project Coordinator of such shipment of Waste Material. However, this notification requirement shall not apply to any off-Site shipments when the total volume of all such shipments will not exceed 10 cubic yards.
- (1) Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall include in the written notification the following information, where available: (1) the name and location of the facility to which the Waste Material is to be shipped; (2) the type and quantity of the Waste Material to be shipped; (3) the expected schedule for the shipment of the Waste Material; and (4) the method of transportation. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall notify the state in which the planned receiving facility is located of major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the Waste Material to another facility within the same state, or to a facility in another state.
- (2) The identity of the receiving facility and state will be determined by Performing Settling Defendant(s) following the award of the contract for Remedial Action construction. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall provide the information required by this Paragraph as soon as practicable after the award of the contract and before the Waste Material is actually shipped.
- b. Before shipping any Waste Material from the Site to an off-site location, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall obtain EPA's certification that the proposed receiving facility is operating in compliance with the requirements of CERCLA Section 121(d)(3) and 40

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

C.F.R. 300.440. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall only send Waste Material from the Site to an off-site facility that complies with the requirements of the statutory provision and regulations cited in the preceding sentence.

VII. <u>REMEDY REVIEW</u>

- 17. Periodic Review. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall conduct any studies and investigations as requested by EPA, in order to permit EPA to conduct reviews of whether the Remedial Action is protective of human health and the environment at least every five years as required by Section 121(c) of CERCLA and any applicable regulations.
- 18. EPA Selection of Further Response Actions. If EPA determines, at any time, that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health and the environment, EPA may select further response actions for the Site in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA and the NCP.
- 19. Opportunity To Comment. Performing Settling Defendant(s) and, if required by Sections 113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA, the public, will be provided with an opportunity to comment on any further response actions proposed by EPA as a result of the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA and to submit written comments for the record during the comment period.
- 20. Performing Settling Defendant(s)' Obligation To Perform Further Response Actions. If EPA selects further response actions for the Site, the Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall undertake such further response actions to the extent that the reopener conditions in Paragraph 94 (General reservations of rights) are satisfied. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, including but not limited to Paragraphs 14, 51 and 94, no Settling Defendant(s) shall be required by this Consent Decree to perform any Work related in any way to the Emerging Compounds ("ECs") identified in the ESD, including determining the source(s) of such ECs, the extent of any groundwater or soil contamination by such ECs, or the removal or remediation of such ECs from the soil or groundwater, other than as necessary to treat such ECs at the wellhead upon extraction so as to ensure compliance with the ARARs. Performing Settling Defendant(s) may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21. Submissions of Plans. If Performing Settling Defendant(s) are required to perform the further response actions pursuant to Paragraph 20, they shall submit a plan for such work to EPA for approval in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section VI (Performance of the Work by Performing Settling Defendant(s)) and shall implement the plan approved by EPA in accordance with the provisions of this Decree.

VIII. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, AND DATA ANALYSIS

22. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures for all treatability, design, compliance and monitoring samples in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans (OA/R5)" (EPA/240/B-01/003, March 2001) "Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/G-5)" (EPA/600/R-98/018, February 1998), and subsequent amendments to such guidelines upon notification by EPA to Performing Settling Defendant(s) of such amendment. Amended guidelines shall apply only to procedures conducted after such notification. Prior to the commencement of any monitoring project under this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit to EPA for approval, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, a Quality Assurance Project Plan ("QAPP") that is consistent with the SOW, the NCP and applicable guidance documents. If relevant to the proceeding, the Performing Settling Defendants and Plaintiffs agree that validated sampling data generated in accordance with the QAPP(s) and reviewed and approved by EPA shall be admissible as evidence, without objection, in any proceeding under this Decree. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall use reasonable efforts to ensure that EPA personnel and its authorized representatives are allowed access at reasonable times to the respective laboratories utilized by Performing Settling

Defendant(s) in implementing this Consent Decree. In addition, Performing Settling 1 Defendant(s) shall ensure that such laboratories shall analyze all samples submitted by EPA 2 pursuant to the QAPP for quality assurance monitoring. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall 3 ensure that the respective laboratories they utilize for the analysis of samples taken pursuant to 4 this Decree perform all analyses according to accepted EPA methods. Accepted EPA methods 5 consist of those methods which are documented in the ["Contract Lab Program Statement of 6 Work for Inorganic Analysis" and the "Contract Lab Program Statement of Work for Organic 7 Analysis," dated February 1988], and any amendments made thereto during the course of the 8 implementation of this Decree; however, upon approval by EPA, after opportunity for review and 9 comment by DTSC, Performing Settling Defendant(s) may use other analytical methods which 10 are as stringent as or more stringent than the CLP-approved methods. Performing Settling 11 Defendant(s) shall ensure that all laboratories they use for analysis of samples taken pursuant to 12 this Consent Decree participate in an EPA or EPA-equivalent QA/QC program. Performing 13 Settling Defendant(s) shall only use laboratories that have a documented Quality System which 14 complies with ANSI/ASQC E4-1994, "Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for 15 Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs," ("American National 16 Standard, January 5, 1995), and "EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)," 17 (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA 18 may consider laboratories accredited under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation 19 Program (NELAP) as meeting the Quality System requirements. Performing Settling 20 Defendant(s) shall ensure that all field methodologies utilized in collecting samples for 21 subsequent analysis pursuant to this Decree will be conducted in accordance with the procedures 22 set forth in the QAPP approved by EPA. 23 23. 24

23. Upon request by EPA, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by EPA or its authorized representatives. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall notify EPA not less than 28 days in advance of any sample collection activity unless shorter notice is agreed to by EPA. In addition, EPA shall have the right to take any additional samples that EPA deems necessary. Upon request, EPA shall allow Performing

25

26

27

- (7) Implementing the Work pursuant to the conditions set forth in Paragraph 95 of this Consent Decree;
- (8) Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated by Settling Defendants or their agents, consistent with Section XXIV ("Access to Information); and
- (9) Assessing Performing Settling Defendants' compliance with this Consent Decree.
- 27. If the Site, or any other property where access and/or land/water use restrictions are needed to implement this Consent Decree, is owned or controlled by persons other than any of the Performing Settling Defendants, the Performing Settling Defendant for which access is necessary shall use best efforts to secure from such persons:
- a. an agreement to provide access thereto for such Performing Settling Defendant, as well as for the United States on behalf of EPA, as well as their representatives (including contractors), and DTSC, for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, those activities listed in Paragraph 26.a of this Consent Decree.
- 28. For purposes of Paragraphs 27 of this Consent Decree, "best efforts" includes the payment of reasonable sums of money in consideration of access, access easements, land/water use restrictions, restrictive easements, and/or an agreement to release or subordinate a prior lien or encumbrance. If any access or land/water use restriction agreements required by Paragraph 27 of this Consent Decree are not obtained within a reasonable period of time after the need for such access becomes known to the relevant Performing Settling Defendant, such Performing Settling Defendant shall promptly notify the United States in writing, and shall include in that notification a summary of the steps that the Performing Settling Defendant has taken to attempt to comply with Paragraph 27 of this Consent Decree. The United States may, as it deems appropriate, assist Performing Settling Defendant(s) in obtaining access or land/water use restrictions, either in the form of an order, or contractual agreements or in the form of easements running with the land, or in obtaining the release or subordination of a prior lien or encumbrance. The relevant

Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall reimburse the United States and DTSC in accordance with the procedures in Section XVI (Reimbursement of Response Costs), for all costs incurred, direct or indirect, by the United States or DTSC in obtaining such access, land/water use restrictions, and/or the release/subordination of prior liens or encumbrances including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and the amount of monetary consideration paid or just compensation.

- 29. If EPA determines that land/water use restrictions in the form of state or local laws, regulations, ordinances or other governmental controls are needed to implement the remedy selected in the ROD, ensure the integrity and protectiveness thereof, or ensure non-interference therewith, Performing Settling Defendants shall cooperate with EPA's and DTSC's efforts to secure such governmental controls. Each Settling Defendant shall cooperate with EPA's efforts to secure such governmental controls with respect to property owned by the Settling Defendant.
- 30. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC retain all of their access authorities and rights, as well as all of its rights to require land/water use restrictions, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statute or regulations.

X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

31. In addition to any other requirement of this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit to EPA 2 copies of written monthly progress reports that: (a) describe the actions which have been taken toward achieving compliance with this Consent Decree during the previous month; (b) include a summary of all results of sampling and tests and all other data received or generated by Performing Settling Defendant(s) or their contractors or agents in the previous month; (c) identify all work plans, plans and other deliverables required by this Consent Decree completed and submitted during the previous month; (d) describe all actions, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, which are scheduled for the next six weeks and provide other information relating to the progress of construction, including, but not limited to, critical path diagrams, Gantt charts and Pert charts; (e) include information regarding percentage of completion, unresolved delays encountered or anticipated that may affect

the future schedule for implementation of the Work, and a description of efforts made to mitigate those delays or anticipated delays; (f) include any modifications to the work plans or other schedules that Performing Settling Defendant(s) have proposed to EPA or that have been approved by EPA; and (g) describe all activities undertaken in support of the community Relations Plan during the previous month and those to be undertaken in the next six weeks. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit these progress reports to EPA by the tenth day of every month beginning 30 days after lodging of this Consent Decree, until EPA notifies Performing Settling Defendant(s) pursuant to Section XIV (Certification of Completion). If requested by EPA, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall also provide telephonic briefings for EPA to discuss the progress of the Work.

32. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall notify EPA of any change in the schedule described in the monthly progress report for the performance of any activity, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, no later than seven days prior to the performance of the activity.

- 23. Upon the occurrence of any event during performance of the Work that Performing Settling Defendant(s) are required to report pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA or Section 304 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act (EPCRA), Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall within 24 hours of the onset of such event orally notify the EPA Project Coordinator or the Alternate EPA Project Coordinator (in the event of the unavailability of the EPA Project Coordinator), or, in the event that neither the EPA Project Coordinator or Alternate EPA Project Coordinator is available, the Emergency Response Section, Region 9, United States Environmental Protection Agency. These reporting requirements are in addition to the reporting required by CERCLA Section 103 or EPCRA Section 304.
- 34. Within 20 days of the onset of such an event, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall furnish to Plaintiffs a written report, signed by Performing Settling Defendant(s)' Project Coordinator, setting forth the events which occurred and the measures taken, and to be taken, in response thereto. Within 30 days of the conclusion of such an event, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit to Plaintiffs a report setting forth all actions taken in response thereto.

.9

35. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit 2 copies of all plans, reports, and data required by the SOW, the Remedial Design Work Plan, the Remedial Action Work Plan, or any other approved plans to EPA in accordance with the schedules set forth in such plans. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall simultaneously submit 2 copies of all such plans, reports and data to DTSC. Upon request by EPA, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit in electronic form all portions of any report or other deliverable Performing Settling Defendant(s) are required to submit pursuant to the provisions of this Consent Decree.

36. All reports and other documents submitted by Performing Settling Defendant(s) to EPA (other than the monthly progress reports referred to above) which purport to document Performing Settling Defendant(s)' compliance with the terms of this Consent Decree shall be signed by an authorized representative of Performing Settling Defendant(s).

XI. EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS

- 37. After review of any plan, report or other item which is required to be submitted for approval pursuant to this Consent Decree, EPA shall: (a) approve, in whole or in part, the submission; (b) approve the submission upon specified conditions; (c) modify the submission to cure the deficiencies; (d) disapprove, in whole or in part, the submission, directing that Performing Settling Defendant(s) modify the submission; or (e) any combination of the above. However, EPA shall not modify a submission without first providing Performing Settling Defendant(s) at least one notice of deficiency and an opportunity to cure within 7 Days, except where to do so would cause serious disruption to the Work or where previous submission(s) have been disapproved due to material defects and the deficiencies in the submission under consideration indicate a bad faith lack of effort to submit an acceptable deliverable.
- 38. In the event of approval, approval upon conditions, or modification by EPA, pursuant to Paragraph 37(a), (b), or (c), Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall proceed to take any action required by the plan, report, or other item, as approved or modified by EPA subject only to their right to invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) with respect to the modifications or conditions made by EPA. In the event that EPA modifies the submission to cure the deficiencies pursuant to Paragraph 37 and the submission has

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

a material defect, EPA retains its right to seek stipulated penalties, as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).

39. Resubmission of Plans.

- Upon receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 37(d), Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall, within 21 Days or such longer time as specified by EPA in such notice, correct the deficiencies and resubmit the plan, report, or other item for approval. Any stipulated penalties applicable to the submission, as provided in Section XX, shall accrue during the 21 Day period or otherwise specified period but shall not be payable unless the resubmission is disapproved or modified due to a material defect as provided in Paragraphs 40 and 41.
- Notwithstanding the receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 37(d), Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall proceed, at the direction of EPA, to take any action required by any non-deficient portion of the submission. Implementation of any nondeficient portion of a submission shall not relieve Performing Settling Defendant(s) of any liability for stipulated penalties under Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).
- 40. In the event that a resubmitted plan, report or other item, or portion thereof, is disapproved by EPA, EPA may again require Performing Settling Defendant(s) to correct the deficiencies, in accordance with the preceding Paragraphs. EPA also retains the right to modify or develop the plan, report or other item. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall implement any such plan, report, or item as modified or developed by EPA, subject only to their right to invoke the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).
- 41. If upon resubmission, a plan, report, or item is disapproved or modified by EPA due to a material defect, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall be deemed to have failed to submit such plan, report, or item timely and adequately unless Performing Settling Defendant(s) invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) and EPA's action is overturned pursuant to that Section. The provisions of Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) and Section XX (Stipulated Penalties) shall govern the implementation of the Work and accrual and payment of any stipulated penalties during Dispute Resolution. If EPA's disapproval or modification is upheld, stipulated penalties shall accrue for such violation from

42. All plans, reports, and other items required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree shall, upon approval or modification by EPA, be enforceable under this Consent Decree. In the event EPA approves or modifies a portion of a plan, report, or other item required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree, the approved or modified portion shall be enforceable under this Consent Decree.

XII. PROJECT COORDINATORS

- 43. Within 20 days of lodging this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant(s) and EPA will notify each other, in writing, of the name, address and telephone number of their respective designated Project Coordinators and Alternate Project Coordinators. If a Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator initially designated is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other Parties at least 5 Working Days before the changes occur, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the change is made. Performing Settling Defendant(s)' Project Coordinators shall be subject to disapproval by EPA and shall have the technical expertise sufficient to adequately oversee all aspects of the Work. The Project Coordinators may assign other representatives, including other contractors, to serve as Site representatives for oversight of performance of daily operations during remedial activities.
- 44. Plaintiffs may designate other representatives, including, but not limited to, EPA employees, and federal contractors and consultants, to observe and monitor the progress of the Work undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager (RPM) and an On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) by the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. In addition, EPA's Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator shall have authority, consistent with the National Contingency Plan, to halt any Work required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when s/he determines that conditions at the Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to release or threatened release of Waste Material.
 - 45. EPA's Project Coordinator and Performing Settling Defendant(s)' Project

Coordinators will meet in person or confer telephonically, at a minimum, on a monthly basis.

The East Side Performing Settling Defendants and the West Side Performing Settling Defendant will meet or confer with EPA's Project Coordinator together or separately, as appropriate under the circumstances at the time.

XIII. ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK

- 46. Within 60 days of entry of this Consent Decree, the East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall establish and maintain financial security in the amount of \$21,400,000. Within 30 days of entry of this Consent Decree, the West Side Performing Settling Defendant shall establish and maintain financial security in the amount of \$16,700,000. Financial security shall be established and maintained in one or more of the following forms:
- a. A surety bond guaranteeing performance of the East Side or West Side Work, as applicable;
 - b. One or more irrevocable letters of credit;
 - c. A trust fund;
- d. A guarantee to perform the East Side or West Side Work, as applicable, by one or more parent corporations or subsidiaries, or by one or more unrelated corporations that have a substantial business relationship with at least one of Performing Settling Defendant(s);
- e. A demonstration that one or more of Performing Settling Defendants satisfy the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f) for the East Side or West Side Work, as applicable.
 - f. Any combination of the above.
- 47. If a Performing Settling Defendant seeks to demonstrate the ability to complete its respective portion of the Work through a guarantee by a third party pursuant to Paragraph 46(d) of this Consent Decree, such Performing Settling Defendant shall demonstrate that the guarantor satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f). If a Performing Settling Defendant seeks to demonstrate its ability to complete the Work by means of the financial test or the corporate guarantee pursuant to Paragraph 46(d) or 43(e), such Performing Settling Defendant shall resubmit sworn statements conveying the information required by 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f)

- 48. If a Performing Settling Defendant can show that the estimated cost to complete the remaining Work has diminished below the amount set forth in Paragraph 46 above after entry of this Consent Decree, such Performing Settling Defendant may, on any anniversary date of entry of this Consent Decree, or at any other time agreed to by the Parties, reduce the amount of the respective financial security provided under this Section to the estimated cost of the remaining work to be performed. The Performing Settling Defendant shall submit a proposal for such reduction to EPA, in accordance with the requirements of this Section, and may reduce the amount of the security upon approval by EPA. In the event of a dispute, Performing Settling Defendant(s) may reduce the amount of their respective security in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.
- 49. Performing Settling Defendant(s) may change the form of their respective financial assurance provided under this Section at any time, upon notice to and approval by EPA, provided that the new form of assurance meets the requirements of this Section. In the event of a dispute, the Performing Settling Defendant involved in the dispute may change the form of its financial assurance only in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.

XIV. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

50. Intentionally Blank.

- 51. Completion of the Work.
- a. Within 45 days after a Performing Settling Defendant concludes that all phases of its portion of the Work (including O & M), have been fully performed, such

Performing Settling Defendant shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by such Performing Settling Defendant and EPA. If, after the pre-certification inspection, Performing Settling Defendant still believes that the Work has been fully performed, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall submit a written report by a registered professional engineer stating that the Work has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree, within 30 days of the pre-certification inspection. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of the Performing Settling Defendant or Performing Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator:

To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If, after review of the written report, EPA determines that any part of the Performing Settling Defendant's portion of the Work has not been completed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will notify the Performing Settling Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by the Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree to complete its portion of the Work, provided, however, that EPA may only require Performing Settling Defendant(s) to perform such activities pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that such activities are consistent with the "scope of the remedy selected in the IROD as supplemented by the ESD" as that term is defined in Paragraph 14(b). EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with the Consent Decree and the SOW or require the Performing Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions). The Performing Settling Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established therein, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent request for Certification of Completion by a Performing Settling Defendant, that its respective portion of the Work has been performed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will so notify the

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

XV. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

- In the event of any action or occurrence during the performance of the East 52. Side or West Side Work which causes or threatens a release of Waste Material from the Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, the relevant Performing Settling Defendant shall, subject to the following Paragraph, immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize such release or threat of release, and shall immediately notify the EPA's Project Coordinator, or, if the Project Coordinator is unavailable, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator. If neither of these persons is available, the Performing Settling Defendant shall notify the EPA [Emergency Response Unit], Region 9. The Performing Settling Defendant shall take such actions in consultation with EPA's Project Coordinator or other available authorized EPA officer and in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Plans, the Contingency Plans, and any other applicable plans or documents developed pursuant to the SOW. In the event that Performing Settling Defendant fails to take appropriate response action as required by this Section, and EPA takes such action instead, the Performing Settling Defendant shall reimburse EPA for all costs of the response action not inconsistent with the NCP pursuant to Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs).
- 53. Nothing in the preceding Paragraph or in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States a) to take all appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, or b) to direct or order such action, or seek an order from the Court, to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, subject to Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs).

XVI. PAYMENTS FOR RESPONSE COSTS

- 54. Payments for Plaintiffs' Past Response Costs.
 - a. The East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall pay to EPA

| . | | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1 | \$1,250,000.00 (One Million Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars) in payment for Past | | |
| 2 | Response Costs as follows: | | |
| 3 | i) \$350,000.00 within 30 days of the Effective Date | | |
| 4 | ii) \$450,000.00 one year from the Effective Date | | |
| 5 | iii) \$450,000.00 two years from the Effective Date. | | |
| 6 | The last two payments shall bear Interest on the declining principal balance calculated from 30 | | |
| 7 | days after the Effective Date. | | |
| 8 | b. The West Side Performing Settling Defendant shall pay to EPA | | |
| 9 | \$250,000.00 (Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars) in payment for Past Response Costs as | | |
| 10 | follows: | | |
| 11 | i) \$50,000.00 within 30 days of the Effective Date | | |
| 12 | ii) \$100,000.00 one year from the Effective Date | | |
| 13 | iii) \$100,000.00 two years from the Effective Date. | | |
| 14 | The last two payments shall bear Interest on the declining principal balance calculated from 30 | | |
| 15 | days after the Effective Date. | | |
| 16 | c. Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc. shall pay to EPA \$400,000.00 (Four Hundred | | |
| 17 | Thousand Dollars) as follows: | | |
| 18 | i) \$100,000.00 within 30 days of the Effective Date | | |
| 19 | ii) \$150,000.00 one year from the Effective Date | | |
| 20 | iii) \$150,000.00 two years from the Effective Date. | | |
| 21 | The last two payments shall bear Interest on the declining principal balance calculated from 30 | | |
| 22 | days after the Effective Date. | | |
| 23 | d. Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Paul Lee shall pay to EPA | | |
| 24 | \$32,500.00 (Thirty-Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars) in payment for Past Response Costs. | | |
| 25 | e. All payments set forth in this Paragraph shall be made by FedWire | | |
| 26 | Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") to the U.S. Department of Justice account in accordance wit | | |
| 27 | current EFT procedures, referencing EPA Site/Spill ID Number 097B, and DOJ Case Number | | |
| 28 | 90-11-2-354/3. Payment shall be made in accordance with instructions provided to the Settling | | |

Costs that EPA incurs with respect to the Work, in accordance with Paragraph 6.a. and b. and the

following sub-paragraphs.

b. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall pay to EPA all Future Response Costs not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan. On a periodic basis the United States will send Performing Settling Defendants a bill requiring payment that includes a standard Regionally-prepared cost summary, which includes direct and indirect costs incurred by EPA and its contractors, and a DOJ-prepared cost summary which reflects costs incurred by DOJ and its contractors, if any. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall make all payments within 45 days of Performing Settling Defendant(s)' receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in the following Paragraph. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall make all payments required by this Paragraph by a certified or cashier's check or checks made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund," referencing the name and address of the party making the payment, EPA Site/Spill ID Number 097B, and DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-354/3. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall send the check(s) to:

EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund US EPA Region 9 Attn: Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251.

c. At the time of payment, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall send notice that payment has been made to the United States, to EPA and to the Regional Financial Management Officer, in accordance with Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).

- d. Performing Setting Defendants' payments pursuant to this Paragraph shall be deposited in the El Monte Operable Unit Special Account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.
- e. <u>Payment of DTSC Future Response Costs to DTSC</u>. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall pay to DTSC all DTSC Future Response Costs not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan. On a periodic basis DTSC will send Performing Settling Defendants a bill requiring payment that includes a standard DTSC cost summary, which includes direct and

indirect costs incurred by DTSC and its contractors. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall make all payments within 45 days of Performing Settling Defendant(s)' receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in the following Paragraph. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the form of a certified check or cashier's check made payable to Cashier, Department of Toxic Substances Control, and shall be forwarded to:

Department of Toxic Substances Control State of California Accounting Office 1001 I Street Sacramento, California 95814

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Performing Settling Defendants shall send a transmittal letter with the check referencing the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites, El Monte Operable Unit. Performing Settling Defendants shall also send a copy of its check and transmittal letter to DTSC, as specified in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).

Performing Settling Defendant(s) may contest payment of any Future Response 56. Costs under the preceding Paragraph ("Payments for Plaintiffs' Future Response Costs") if they determine that the United States or DTSC has made an accounting error or if they allege that a cost item that is included represents costs that are inconsistent with the NCP. Such objection shall be made in writing within 45 days of receipt of the bill and must be sent to the United States or DTSC, as applicable, pursuant to Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions). Any such objection shall specifically identify the contested Future Response Costs and the basis for objection. In the event of an objection, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall, within the 45-day period, pay all uncontested Future Response Costs to the United States or DTSC in the manner described in the preceding Paragraph ("Payments for Plaintiffs' Future Response Costs"). Simultaneously, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall establish an interest-bearing escrow account in a federally insured bank duly chartered in the State of California and remit to that escrow account funds equivalent to the amount of the contested Future Response Costs. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall send to the United States or DTSC, as applicable, as provided in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions), a copy of the transmittal letter and check

paying the uncontested Future Response Costs, and a copy of the correspondence that establishes and funds the escrow account, including, but not limited to, information containing the identity of the bank and bank account under which the escrow account is established as well as a bank statement showing the initial balance of the escrow account. Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall initiate the Dispute Resolution procedures in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution). If the United States or DTSC prevails in the dispute, within 5 days of the resolution of the dispute, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall pay the sums due (with accrued interest) to the United States or DTSC in the manner described in the preceding Paragraph ("Payments for Plaintiffs' Future Response Costs"). If Performing Settling Defendant(s) prevail concerning any aspect of the contested costs, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall pay that portion of the costs (plus associated accrued interest) for which they did not prevail to the United States or DTSC in the manner described in the preceding Paragraph ("Payments for Plaintiffs' Future Response Costs"); any balance of the escrow account shall be disbursed to Performing Settling Defendant(s). The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for resolving disputes regarding Performing Settling Defendant(s)' obligation to reimburse the United States or DTSC for their respective Future Response Costs.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

57. In the event that the payments required by Paragraph 54 ("Payments for Plaintiffs' Past Response Costs") are not made on the date due, or the payments required by Paragraph 55 ("Payments for Plaintiffs' Future Response Costs") are not made on the date due, Performing Settling Defendants, Safety Kleen or Paul Lee, as applicable, shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. The Interest to be paid on Past Response Costs under this Paragraph shall begin to accrue on the date due. The Interest on Future Response Costs shall begin to accrue on the due date of the bill. The Interest shall accrue through the date of the respective Settling Defendant's payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of a specified Settling Defendant's failure to make timely payments under this Section including, but not limited to, payment of stipulated

penalties pursuant to Paragraph 79. The specified Settling Defendants shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the manner described in Paragraph 54.

- 58. <u>Payments between Settling Defendants.</u>
- a. The East Side Performing Settling Defendants shall pay \$3.3 million into a fund established by the West Side Performing Settling Defendant within 70 days of the Effective Date (unless an appeal of the entry of the Consent Decree is taken, in which case the payment will not become due until 10 days after final resolution of the appeal in favor of entry). EPA shall be a co-signatory on all disbursements from such fund by West Side Performing Settling Defendant.
- b. Within 70 days of the Effective Date, the Union Pacific Railroad Company shall pay Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) to the West Side Performing Settling Defendant and shall provide evidence of such payment to EPA concurrently therewith; provided, however, that if an appeal of the entry of the Consent Decree is taken, the payment will not become due until 10 days after final resolution of the appeal in favor of entry.
- 59. All other payments to Performing Settling Defendants by Contributing Settling Defendants will be made in accordance with Appendix H.
 - 60. Intentionally Blank
 - 61. Intentionally Blank
 - 62. Intentionally Blank
 - 63. Intentionally Blank
 - 64. Intentionally Blank

XVII. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE

- 65. <u>Performing Settling Defendant(s)' Indemnification of the United States and DTSC.</u>
- a. The United States and DTSC do not assume any liability by entering into this agreement or by virtue of any designation of Performing Settling Defendants as EPA's authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall indemnify, save and hold harmless the United States and DTSC and their officials, agents,

employees, contractors, subcontractors, or representatives for or from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on account of, negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of Performing Settling Defendant(s), their officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on their behalf or under their control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any claims arising from any designation of Performing Settling Defendant(s) as EPA's authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. Further, Performing Settling Defendant(s) agree to pay the United States and DTSC all costs they incur including, but not limited to, attorneys fees and other expenses of litigation and settlement arising from, or on account of, claims made against the United States or DTSC based on negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of Performing Settling Defendant(s), their officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on their behalf or under their control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. The United States or DTSC shall not be held out as a party to any contract entered into by or on behalf of Performing Settling Defendant(s) in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither Performing Settling Defendant(s) nor any such contractor shall be considered an agent of the United States or DTSC.

b. The United States and DTSC shall give Performing Settling Defendant(s) notice of any claim for which the United State or DTSC s plans to seek indemnification pursuant to this Paragraph, and shall consult with Performing Settling Defendant(s) prior to settling such claim.

66. Performing Settling Defendant(s) waive all claims against the United States and DTSC for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States or DTSC, arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between any one or more of Performing Settling Defendant(s) and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays. In addition, Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States and DTSC with respect to any and all claims for damages or reimbursement arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between any one or more of

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

67. No later than 15 days before commencing any on-site Work, each of the Performing Settling Defendants shall secure, and shall maintain until the first anniversary of EPA's Certification of Completion pursuant to Section XIV (Certification of Completion), comprehensive general liability insurance with limits of 2 million dollars, combined single limit, and automobile liability insurance with limits of 1 million dollars, combined single limit, naming the United States and DTSC as additional insureds. In addition, for the duration of this Consent Decree, each of the Performing Settling Defendants shall satisfy, or shall ensure that their respective contractors or subcontractors satisfy, all applicable laws and regulations regarding the provision of worker's compensation insurance for all persons performing the Work on behalf of the respective Performing Settling Defendant in furtherance of this Consent Decree. Prior to commencement of the Work under this Consent Decree, each of the Performing Settling Defendants shall provide to EPA certificates of such insurance and a copy of each insurance policy. Each of the Performing Settling Defendants shall resubmit such certificates and copies of policies each year on the anniversary of the Effective Date. If a Performing Settling Defendant demonstrates by evidence satisfactory to EPA that any contractor or subcontractor maintains insurance equivalent to that described above, or insurance covering the same risks but in a lesser amount, then, with respect to that contractor or subcontractor, such Performing Settling Defendant need provide only that portion of the insurance described above which is not maintained by the contractor or subcontractor.

XVIII. FORCE MAJEURE

68. "Force Majeure," for purposes of this Consent Decree, is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the control of the Settling Defendants, of any entity controlled by Settling Defendants, or of Settling Defendants' contractors, that delays or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree despite Settling Defendants' best efforts to fulfill the obligation. The requirement that the Settling Defendants exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes using best efforts to anticipate any potential Force Majeure event

and (2) following the potential Force Majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible. "Force Majeure" does not include financial inability to complete the Work or a failure to attain the Performance Standards. Only the East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s) may invoke the provisions of this Section with respect to the East Side Work; and only the West Side Performing Settling Defendant may invoke the provisions of this Section with respect to the West Side Work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 69. If any event occurs or has occurred that may delay the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree, whether or not caused by a Force Majeure event, the Settling Defendant responsible for performing such obligation shall notify orally EPA's Project Coordinator or, in his or her absence, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator or, in the event both of EPA's designated representatives are unavailable, the Director of the Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA Region 9, within 48 hours of when Settling Defendants first knew that the event might cause a delay. Within 14 days thereafter, such Settling Defendant shall provide in writing to EPA an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or mitigate the delay or the effect of the delay; the Settling Defendant's rationale for attributing such delay to a Force Majeure event if they intend to assert such a claim; and a statement as to whether, in the opinion of the Settling Defendant, such event may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health, welfare or the environment. The Settling Defendant shall include with any notice all available documentation supporting their claim that the delay was attributable to a Force Majeure event. Failure to comply with the above requirements shall preclude the Settling Defendant from asserting any claim of Force Majeure for that event for the period of time of such failure to comply, and for any additional delay caused by such failure. The Settling Defendant shall be deemed to know of any circumstance of which Settling Defendant, any entity controlled by Settling Defendant, or Settling Defendant's contractors knew or should reasonably have known.
 - 70. If EPA agrees that the delay or anticipated delay is attributable to a Force Majeure

event, the time for performance of the obligations under this Consent Decree that are affected by the Force Majeure event will be extended by EPA for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of the time for performance of the obligations affected by the Force Majeure event shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation. If EPA does not agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a Force Majeure event, EPA will notify the Settling Defendant in writing of its decision. If EPA agrees that the delay is attributable to a Force Majeure event, EPA will notify the Settling Defendant in writing of the length of the extension, if any, for performance of the obligations affected by the Force Majeure event.

71. If any Settling Defendant elects to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), it shall do so no later than 15 days after receipt of EPA's notice of its Force Majeure decision. In any such proceeding, the Settling Defendant shall have the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a Force Majeure event, that the duration of the delay or the extension sought was or will be warranted under the circumstances, that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay, and that the Settling Defendant complied with the requirements of Paragraphs 68 and 69, above. If the Settling Defendant carries this burden, the delay at issue shall be deemed not to be a violation by the Settling Defendant of the affected obligation of this Consent Decree identified to EPA and the Court.

XIX. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

72. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Consent Decree, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes arising under or with respect to this Consent Decree. However, the procedures set forth in this Section shall not apply to actions by the United States to enforce the obligations of any Settling Defendant that has not been disputed in accordance with this Section. Only the East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s) may invoke the provisions of this Section with respect to the East Side Work; and only the West Side Performing Settling Defendant may invoke the provisions of this Section with respect to the West Side Work.

73. Any dispute which arises under or with respect to this Consent Decree shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the parties to the dispute. The period for informal negotiations shall not exceed 30 days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends the other parties a written Notice of Dispute.

74. Statements of Position.

- a. In the event that the parties cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under the preceding Paragraph, then the position advanced by EPA shall be considered binding unless, within 21 days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, the Settling Defendant involved in the dispute invokes the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on the United States a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by the Settling Defendant. The Statement of Position shall specify the Settling Defendant's position as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 75 or Paragraph 76.
- b. Within 21 after receipt of the Settling Defendant's Statement of Position, EPA will serve on the Settling Defendant its Statement of Position, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by EPA. EPA's Statement of Position shall include a statement as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 75 or 76. Within 10 days after receipt of EPA's Statement of Position, the Settling Defendant(s) may submit a Reply.
- c. If there is disagreement between EPA and the Settling Defendant as to whether dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 75 or 76, the parties to the dispute shall follow the procedures set forth in the paragraph determined by EPA to be applicable. However, if the Settling Defendant ultimately appeals to the Court to resolve the dispute, the Court shall determine which paragraph is applicable in accordance with the standards of applicability set forth in Paragraphs 75 and 76.
 - 75. Formal dispute resolution for disputes pertaining to the selection or adequacy of

1 and 2 ur. 3 see 4 index 5 im 6 (2) 7 No. 8 reg

any response action and all other disputes that are accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Paragraph. For purposes of this Paragraph, the adequacy of any response action includes, without limitation: (1) the adequacy or appropriateness of plans, procedures to implement plans, or any other items requiring approval by EPA under this Consent Decree; and (2) the adequacy of the performance of response actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to allow any dispute by Settling Defendants regarding the validity of the provisions of the IROD as supplemented by the ESD.

- a. An administrative record of the dispute shall be maintained by EPA and shall contain all Statements of Position, including supporting documentation, submitted pursuant to this Section. Where appropriate, EPA may allow submission of supplemental Statements of Position by the parties to the dispute.
- b. The Director of the Waste Management Division, EPA Region 9, will issue a final administrative decision resolving the dispute based on the administrative record described in the preceding sub-paragraph. This decision shall be binding upon the Settling Defendant involved in the dispute, subject only to the right to seek judicial review pursuant to the next two sub-paragraphs.
- paragraph shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a motion for judicial review of the decision is filed by the Settling Defendant with the Court and served on all parties within 10 days of receipt of EPA's decision. The motion shall include a description of the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendant's motion.
- d. In proceedings on any dispute governed by this Paragraph, the Settling
 Defendant involved shall have the burden of demonstrating that the decision of the Waste
 Management Division Director is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with
 law. Judicial review of EPA's decision shall be on the administrative record compiled pursuant

76. Formal dispute resolution for disputes that neither pertain to the selection or adequacy of any response action nor are otherwise accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law, shall be governed by this Paragraph.

a. Following receipt of a Settling Defendant's Statement of Position submitted pursuant to Paragraph 74, the Director of the Waste Management Division, EPA Region 9 will issue a final decision resolving the dispute. The Waste Management Division Director's decision shall be binding on the Settling Defendant unless, within 10 days of receipt of the decision, the Settling Defendant files with the Court and serves on the parties a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to the Settling Defendant's motion.

b. Notwithstanding Paragraph N of Section I (Background) of this Consent Decree, judicial review of any dispute governed by this Paragraph shall be governed by applicable principles of law.

77. The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not extend, postpone or affect in any way any obligation of the Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree, not directly in dispute, unless EPA or the Court agrees otherwise. Stipulated penalties with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Paragraph 86. Notwithstanding the stay of payment, stipulated penalties shall accrue from the first day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this Consent Decree. In the event that the Settling Defendant involved does not prevail on the disputed issue, stipulated penalties may be assessed and shall be paid as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).

XX. Stipulated Penalties

78. Performing Settling Defendants shall be liable for stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Paragraphs 79 and 80 to the United States for failure to comply with the

| 1 | requirements of this Consent Decree specified below, unless excused under Section XVIII (Force | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Majeure). "Compliance" by Performing Settling Defendants shall include completion of the | | | | |
| 3 | activities under this Consent Decree or any work plan or other plan approved under this Consent | | | | |
| 4 | Decree identified below in accordance with all applicable requirements of law, this Consent | | | | |
| 5 | Decree, the SOW, and any plans or other documents approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent | | | | |
| 6 | Decree and within the specified time schedules established by and approved under this Consent | | | | |
| 7 | Decree. Only the East Side Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall be responsible for stipulated | | | | |
| 8 | penalties relating to the East Side Work; and only the West Side Performing Settling Defendant | | | | |
| 9 | shall be responsible for stipulated penalties relating to the West Side Work. | | | | |
| 10 | 79. <u>Stipulated Penalty Amounts - Work</u> . | | | | |
| | | | | | |

The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day for

| Penalty Per Violation Per Day | Period of Noncompliance |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| \$1,500 | 1st through 14th day |
| \$2,500 | 15th through 30th day |
| \$3,500 | 31st day and beyond |

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a.

any noncompliance identified in Subparagraph b:

- b. <u>Compliance Milestones</u>. Failure to submit or perform any of the following within the specified time schedule provided for in this Decree shall incur the stipulated penalties set out in Subparagraph a.
 - i. Compliance and Sentinel Well Network Plan
 - ii. Draft and Final RD/RA Work Plan
 - iii. Preliminary Remedial Design
 - iv. Pre-final Remedial Design
 - v. Final Remedial Design
 - vi. Initiation of Construction of Remedial Action
 - vii. Remedial Action Construction Report
 - viii. Interim Remedial Action Report
 - ix. Performance Evaluation Reports

- x. Non-compliance Notification
- xi. Failure to make timely payments for Past or Future Response Costs of the United States
- xi. Failure to make timely payments for Past or Future Response Costs of DTSC.
- 80. <u>Stipulated Penalty Amounts Reports</u>. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day for failure to submit timely or adequate reports or other written documents.

| Penalty Per Violation Per Day | Period of Noncompliance |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| \$1,500 | 1st through 14th day |
| \$2,500 | 15th through 30th day |
| \$3,500 | 31st day and beyond |

- 81. In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the East Side or West Side Work pursuant to Paragraph 95 ("Work Takeover") of Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), the East Side or West Side Performing Settling Defendants, as applicable, shall be liable for a stipulated penalty in the amount of \$3.5 million or twice the cost of the remainder of the East Side or West Side Work (as applicable), whichever is less.
- 82. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the day after the complete performance is due or the day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the final day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. However, stipulated penalties shall not accrue: (1) with respect to a deficient submission under Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st day after EPA's receipt of such submission until the date that EPA notifies the respective Performing Settling Defendant of any deficiency; (2) with respect to a decision by the Director of the Waste Management Division, EPA Region 9, under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 21st day after the date that such Performing Settling Defendant's reply to EPA's Statement of Position is received until the date that the Director issues a final decision regarding such dispute; or (3) with respect to judicial review by this Court of any

dispute under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st day after the Court's receipt of the final submission regarding the dispute until the date that the Court issues a final decision regarding such dispute. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree.

- 83. Following EPA's determination that a Performing Settling Defendant has failed to comply with a requirement of this Consent Decree, EPA may give such Performing Settling Defendant written notification of the same and describe the noncompliance. EPA may send the Performing Settling Defendant a written demand for the payment of the penalties. However, penalties shall accrue as provided in the preceding Paragraph regardless of whether EPA has notified the Performing Settling Defendant of a violation.
- 84. All penalties accruing under this Section shall be due and payable to the United States within 30 days of the Performing Settling Defendant's receipt from EPA of a demand for payment of the penalties, unless such Performing Settling Defendant invokes the Dispute Resolution procedures under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution). All payments to the United States under this Section shall be paid by certified or cashier's check(s) made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund," shall be mailed to

EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund US EPA Region 9 Attn: Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251.

shall indicate that the payment is for stipulated penalties, and shall reference the EPA Region and Site/Spill ID # 097B, the DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-354/3, and the name and address of the party making payment. Copies of check(s) paid pursuant to this Section, and any accompanying transmittal letter(s), shall be sent to the United States as provided in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions). However, any penalties accruing under this Section for Settling Defendants' failures to pay DTSC Past Response Costs or DTSC Future Response Costs shall be due and payable to DTSC, pursuant to the payment terms set forth in Paragraph 54.h.

85. The payment of penalties shall not alter in any way Performing Settling

Defendants' obligation to complete the performance of the Work required under this Consent

Decree.

86. Penalties shall continue to accrue as provided in Paragraph 82 during any dispute resolution period, but need not be paid until the following:

- a. If the dispute is resolved by agreement or by a decision of EPA that is not appealed to this Court, accrued penalties determined to be owing shall be paid to EPA within 15 days of the agreement or the receipt of EPA's decision or order;
- b. If the dispute is appealed to this Court and the United States prevails in whole or in part, the Performing Settling Defendant filing such appeal shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the Court to be owed to EPA within 60 days of receipt of the Court's decision or order, except as provided in Subparagraph c below;
- c. If the District Court's decision is appealed by any Party, the Performing Settling Defendant involved in the appeal shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the District Court to be owing to the United States into an interest-bearing escrow account within 60 days of receipt of the Court's decision or order. Penalties shall be paid into this account as they continue to accrue, at least every 60 days. Within 15 days of receipt of the final appellate court decision, the escrow agent shall pay the balance of the account to EPA or to the Performing Settling Defendant to the extent that they prevail.
- 87. If a Performing Settling Defendant fails to pay stipulated penalties when due, the United States may institute proceedings to collect the penalties, as well as interest. The Performing Settling Defendant shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance, which shall begin to accrue on the date of demand made pursuant to Paragraph 84.
- 88. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the United States to seek any other remedies or sanctions available by virtue of Performing Settling Defendants' violation of this Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which it is based, including, but not limited to, penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA, provided, however, that the United States shall not seek civil penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA for any violation for which a stipulated penalty is provided herein, except in the case of a willful violation of the Consent Decree.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

89. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the United States may, in its unreviewable discretion, waive any portion of stipulated penalties that have accrued pursuant to this Consent Decree.

XXI. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS

- 90. In consideration of the actions that will be performed and the payments that will be made by the Settling Defendants under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 94 ("General reservations of rights") of this Section, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants, other than the Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants, pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607(a), or Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973 for performance of the Work and for recovery of Past Response Costs, Future Response Costs and future Basin-Wide Response Costs. These covenants not to sue shall take effect for Performing Settling Defendant(s) upon receipt by EPA of the payments required of them by Paragraph 54 a.i) and 54 b.i) of Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs). These covenants not to sue shall take effect for each Contributing Settling Defendant upon EPA's receipt of notification, pursuant to Paragraph 6 c., that such Contributing Settling Defendant has discharged its payment obligations pursuant to this Decree. With respect to each Settling Defendant, these covenants not to sue are conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by that Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree, including, for each Performing Settling Defendant, its obligations under Paragraphs 54 a.ii) and a.iii) or 54 b.ii) and b.iii) as applicable. These covenants not to sue extend only to Settling Defendants (other than the Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants) and do not extend to any other person.
- 91. Except as specifically provided in this Paragraph, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against the Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607(a), with regard to the Site. With respect to present and future liability, this covenant shall take effect upon receipt by DOJ of the payments required by Paragraph 54 of Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs). This covenant not to sue is conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Ability-to-Pay Settling

Defendants of their obligations under this Consent Decree including but not limited to, payment of all amounts due by them under Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs), and any amounts due under Section XX (Stipulated Penalties). This covenant not to sue is also conditioned upon the veracity and completeness of any financial information previously provided to EPA by Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants. If any such financial information is subsequently determined by EPA to be false or, in any material respect, inaccurate, the submitting Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendant shall forfeit all payments made pursuant to this Consent Decree and this covenant not to sue and the contribution protection shall be null and void. Such forfeiture shall not constitute liquidated damages and shall not in any way foreclose the United States' right to pursue any other causes of action arising from Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendant's false or materially inaccurate information. This covenant not to sue extends only to Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants and does not extend to any other person. Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., has advised EPA that it is in the process of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

92. Covenant Not to Sue by DTSC. Except as specifically provided in Paragraph 94 ("General reservations of rights") of this Section, DTSC covenants not to sue Settling

Defendants, and each of them, pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), and

Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and comparable state laws,
including but not limited to, the California Civil Code § 3494, and California Health and Safety

Code §§ 25300 et seq., to recover DTSC Past Response Costs, DTSC Future Response Costs or
future Basin-wide Response Costs. This covenant not to sue shall take effect as to the Settling

Defendants upon payment to DTSC of all payments required from Performing Settling

Defendants by Paragraph 54h (Payment of Past Response Costs to DTSC). The covenant not to
sue granted to each Settling Defendant is conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by that

Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree. This covenant not to sue
extends only to Settling Defendants and does not extend to any other person. In the event of a
breach by a Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree, the covenant shall
remain in effect as to the other Settling Defendants.

93. Intentionally Blank.

- 94. General reservations of rights. The United States and DTSC reserve, and this
 Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants with respect to all
 matters not expressly included within Plaintiffs' covenant not to sue. Notwithstanding any other
 provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC reserve all rights against
 Performing Settling Defendant(s), and all rights other than those set out in subsections (e) and (f)
 against Contributing Settling Defendants and Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants, with respect to:
- a. claims against a Settling Defendant based on a failure by such Settling Defendant to meet a requirement of such Settling Defendant under this Consent Decree;
- b. liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material outside of the Site;
 - c. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments;
 - d. criminal liability;
 - e. liability for violations of federal or state law which occur during or after implementation of the Remedial Action; and
 - f. liability, prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action, for additional response actions that EPA determines are necessary to achieve Performance Standards, but that cannot be required pursuant to Paragraph 14 (Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans);
 - g. liability for any other operable units of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site.
- 95. Work Takeover In the event EPA determines that Performing Settling Defendant(s) have ceased implementation of any portion of the East Side or West Side Work, are seriously or repeatedly deficient or late in their respective performance of the Work, or are implementing the Work in a manner which may cause an endangerment to human health or the environment, EPA may assume the performance of all or any portions of the East Side or West Work as EPA determines necessary. Performing Settling Defendant(s) may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 75, to dispute EPA's

determination that takeover of the Work is warranted under this Paragraph. Costs incurred by the United States in performing the Work pursuant to this Paragraph, which are not reimbursed through the financial assurance mechanism(s) established by the relevant Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to Paragraph 46, shall be considered Future Response Costs that Performing Settling Defendant(s) shall pay pursuant to Section XVI (Payment for Response Costs).

96. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC retain all authority and reserve all rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

XXII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

- 97. <u>Covenant Not to Sue</u>. Subject to the reservations in the following Paragraph,

 Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of
 action against the United States or DTSC with respect to the East Side Work and the West Side
 Work, past response actions, Past Response Costs and Future Response Costs as defined herein,
 or this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to:
- a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, 113 or any other provision of law;
- b. any claims against the United States, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113 related to the Site, or
- c. any claims arising out of response actions at or in connection with the Site, including any claim under the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended, or at common law.

Except as provided in Paragraph 106 (waiver of Claim-Splitting Defenses), these covenants not to sue shall not apply in the event that the United States or DTSC brings a cause of action or issues an order pursuant to the reservations set forth in paragraph 94 (b) - (d) or 94 (g), but only to the extent that Settling Defendants' claims arise from the same response action, response costs, or damages that the United States or DTSC is seeking pursuant to the applicable

reservation.

- 98. The Settling Defendants reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, claims against the United States, subject to the provisions of Chapter 171 of Title 28 of the United States Code, for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the United States while acting within the scope of his office or employment under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred. However, any such claim shall not include a claim for any damages caused, in whole or in part, by the act or omission of any person, including any contractor, who is not a federal employee as that term is defined in 28 U.S.C. § 2671; nor shall any such claim include a claim based on EPA's selection of response actions, or the oversight or approval of the Settling Defendants' plans or activities. The foregoing applies only to claims which are brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA.
- 99. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).
 - 100. Intentionally Blank.
- 101. Each Settling Defendant covenants not to sue any other Settling Defendant with respect to the East Side Work and the West Side Work, past response actions, Past Response Costs, DTSC Past Response Costs, Future Response Costs, DTSC Future Response Costs, and future Basin-Wide Response Costs, as defined herein, or this Consent Decree. Settling Defendants Hermetic Seal Corporation, Clayton Industries, Plato Products, Inc., and the Adams Family Trust specifically release any and all causes of action assigned to them by Southern California Water Company against any and all other Settling Defendants.

XXIII. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

102. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree. The preceding sentence

2,7

shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this decree may have under applicable law. Plaintiffs and each Settling Defendant expressly reserve any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each of them may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person other than Plaintiffs and/or Settling Defendants.

- 103. The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds, that the Settling Defendants are entitled, as of the Effective Date, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2) for matters addressed in this Consent Decree. The "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree are past response actions, Past Response Costs, Future Response Costs, and future Basin-Wide Response Costs, the East Side Work and West Side Work, and all work required in the IROD, the ESD and the SOW and any further response required under Section VIII, Paragraph 20 (Performing Settling Defendant(s)' Obligation to Perform Further Response Actions), and DTSC Past Response Costs and DTSC Future Response Costs. The parties hereto intend that this settlement will afford each Settling Defendant full protection against any contribution claims relating to the matters addressed in this Consent Decree.
- 104. East Side Performing Defendants retain all contribution rights against NavCom Defense Electronics, Inc., Ernest Jarvis, and Hyrum Jarvis. West Side Performing Defendant retains all contribution rights against Crown City Plating Company, Inc. NavCom, the Jarvis Brothers and Crown City are not Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree.
- 105. The Settling Defendants agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters addressed in this Consent Decree they will notify the United States and DTSC in writing no later than 30 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.
- 106. The Settling Defendants also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters addressed in this Consent Decree they will notify in writing the United States and DTSC within 30 days of service of the complaint on them. In

4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

addition, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States and DTSC within 30 days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within 30 days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial.

107. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or DTSC for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or DTSC in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs).

XXIV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

108. Settling Defendants shall provide to EPA, upon request, and within a reasonable time, copies of all documents and information within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to activities at the Site or to the implementation of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the Work. Settling Defendants shall also make available to EPA, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, their employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the Work.

109. Business Confidential and Privileged Documents.

a. Settling Defendants may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies documents or information when they are submitted to EPA, or if EPA has notified Settling Defendants that the documents or information are not confidential

under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA or 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B, the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to Settling Defendants.

- b. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege in lieu of providing documents, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the contents of the document, record, or information; and (6) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendants. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.
- 110. No claim of confidentiality shall be made with respect to any data, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Site.

XXV. <u>RETENTION OF RECORDS</u>

111. Until 10 years after the Performing Settling Defendants' receipt of EPA's notification pursuant to Section XIV (Certification of Completion of the Work), each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all non-identical copies of records and documents (including records or documents in electronic form) now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to its liability under CERCLA with respect to the Site; provided, however, that Settling Defendants shall not be required to retain documents and records that relate to the liability of any other person under CERCLA with respect to the Site, which were obtained from governmental agencies or received from other Settling Defendants or non-settling PRPs in the course of allocation negotiations between and among such parties. Each Performing Settling Defendant must also retain, and instruct its contractors and agents to

preserve, for the same period of time specified above all non-identical copies of the last draft or final version of any documents or records (including documents or records in electronic form) now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to the performance of the Work, provided, however, that each Performing Settling Defendant (and its contractors and agents) must retain, in addition, copies of all data generated during the performance of the Work and not contained in the aforementioned documents required to be retained. Each of the above record retention requirements shall apply regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.

- 112. At the conclusion of this document retention period, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States at least 90 days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and, upon request by the United States, Settling Defendants shall deliver any such records or documents to EPA. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information; and (6) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendants. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.
- knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents or other information (other than identical copies) relating to its potential liability regarding the Site since notification of potential liability by the United States or DTSC or the filing of suit against it regarding the Site and that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Section 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6927.

114. The Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants hereby certify that, to the best of their knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, they have submitted to EPA financial information that fairly, accurately, and materially sets forth their financial circumstances, and that those circumstances have not materially changed between the time the financial information was submitted to EPA and the time Ability-to-Pay Settling Defendants execute this Consent Decree. Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., has advised EPA that it is in the process of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

XXVI. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one Party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses listed in Appendix G, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless otherwise provided. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, DTSC, and the Settling Defendants, respectively.

XXVII. EFFECTIVE DATE

116. The effective date of this Consent Decree shall be the date upon which this Consent Decree is entered by the Court, except as otherwise provided herein.

XXVIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

and the Settling Defendants for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve disputes in accordance with Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) hereof.

XXIX. APPENDICES

118. The following appendices are incorporated into this Consent Decree: "Appendix A" is the IROD.

- "Appendix B" is the ESD.
- "Appendix C" is the SOW-East Side.
- "Appendix D" is the SOW-West Side.
- "Appendix E" is the description and/or map of the Site.
- "Appendix F" is the complete list of the Settling Defendants.
- "Appendix G" is the list of Addresses for Notice pursuant to Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions) and for Service pursuant to Section XXXIII (Signatories/Service).
 - "Appendix H" is the list of Payment Obligations of Contributing Settling Defendants.
 - "Appendix I" is EPA's list of recipients of the special notice letters.

XXX. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

119. Performing Settling Defendants shall propose to EPA their participation in the community relations plan to be developed by EPA. EPA will determine the appropriate role for the Performing Settling Defendants under the Plan. Performing Settling Defendants shall also cooperate with EPA in providing information regarding the Work to the public. As requested by EPA, Performing Settling Defendants shall participate in the preparation of such information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by EPA to explain activities at or relating to the Site.

XXXI. MODIFICATION

- 120. Schedules specified in this Consent Decree for completion of the Work may be modified by agreement of EPA and Performing Settling Defendant(s). All such modifications shall be made in writing.
- 121. Except as provided in Paragraph 14 (Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans), no material modifications shall be made to the SOW without written notification to and written approval of the United States, Performing Settling Defendant(s), and the Court, if such modifications fundamentally alter the basic features of the selected remedy within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. 300.435(c)(2)(B)(ii). Prior to providing its approval to any modification, the United States will provide DTSC with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed

modification. Modifications to the SOW that do not materially alter that document, or material modifications to the SOW that do not fundamentally alter the basic features of the selected remedy within the meaning of 40 C.F.R.300.435(c)(2)(B)(ii), may be made by written agreement between EPA, after providing DTSC with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification, and Performing Settling Defendant(s).

122. Nothing in this Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise or approve modifications to this Consent Decree.

XXXII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

- 123. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than thirty (30) days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.
- 124. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

XXXIII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

- 125. Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant to this Consent Decree and the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.
- 126. Each Settling Defendant hereby agrees not to oppose entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has notified the Settling Defendants in writing that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.
- 127. Each Settling Defendant shall identify, in Appendix G, the name, address and facsimile telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that Party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree.

| 1 | Settling Defendants hereby agree to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local | | | | | |
| 3 | rules of this Court, including, but not limited to, service of a summons. The parties agree that | | | | | |
| 4 | Settling Defendants need not file an answer to the complaint in this action unless or until the | | | | | |
| 5 | court expressly declines to enter this Consent Decree. | | | | | |
| 6 | XXXIV. <u>Final Judgment</u> | | | | | |
| 7 | 128. This Consent Decree and its appendices constitute the final, complete, and | | | | | |
| 8 | exclusive agreement and understanding among the parties with respect to the settlement | | | | | |
| 9 | embodied in the Consent Decree. The parties acknowledge that there are no representations, | | | | | |
| 10 | agreements or understandings relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in | | | | | |
| 11 | this Consent Decree. | | | | | |
| 12 | 129. Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent | | | | | |
| 13 | Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the United States, DTSC, and the | | | | | |
| 14 | Settling Defendants. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters | | | | | |
| 15 | this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58. | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | SO ORDERED. | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | DATED: | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site. |
|----------|--|
| 2 | valies superfund site. |
| 3 | FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA |
| 4 | Department of Justice |
| 5 | |
| 6 | 11/26/03 |
| 7 | Date THOMAS L. SANSONETTI Achini Assistant Attorney General |
| 8 | Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice |
| 9 | Washington, D.C. 20530 |
| 10 | Date STEVEN O'ROURKE |
| 11 | Date STEVEN O'ROURKE Environmental Enforcement Section |
| 12 | Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice |
| 13 | P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 |
| 14 15 | , beinigeon, b.c. 20044 7011 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Date SUZETTE CLOVER Assistant United States Attorney |
| 18 | 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, California 90012 |
| 19 | Telephone: (213) 894-2442 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States, et al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site. FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EPA 11 - 12+ -Date KEITH TAKATA Director of the Superfund Division United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 11/07/03 Date JAMES COLLINS Assistant Regional Counsel United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

| | 11 | · |
|-------|------------------------|--|
| 1, | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY | enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et |
| 2 | Valley Superfund Site. | relating to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | FOD THE CALLEODNIA D | |
| 6 | CONTROL: | EPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | DATE: 2/6/04 | |
| 9 | | THOMAS M. COTA, Chief |
| 10 | | Southern California Cleanup Operations Branch Cypress Office |
| 11 | | Department of Toxic Substance Control 5796 Corporate Avenue |
| 12 | | Cypress, California 90630 (714) 484-5459 |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | - / | |
| 15 | DATE: $\alpha/24/04$ | ANN RUSHTON |
| 16 | | Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street |
| 17 | | Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2608 |
| 18 | | 1 elephone. (213) 657-2006 |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 II | | |

| 1 | Site. | the El Monte Op | erable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfi |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | eralders e | |
| 4 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Adams Family Trust dtd. 11/14/86 |
| 5 | (| | Tobalio Additional Property of the Control of the C |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | , |
| 8 | DATE: September 30, 2003 | Signature: | 7/ 000 |
| 9 | | l | |
| 0 | | Name (print): | John H. Adams |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | Title: | Trustee |
| 3 | | Title. | Trustice |
| 1 | | | |
| 5 | | Address: | 110 Mason Circle, Suite D |
| 5 | | | Concord, CA 94520 |
| , | | | |
| в | | | |
| , | | | |
| 0 | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 5 | | • | |
| , | | | |
| j | | | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters in v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating Superfund Site. | nto this Consent I to the El Monte | Decree in the matter of Operable Unit of the | f <u>United S</u> San Gabri | tates, et al. el Valley | |
|----------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | · | | M. Maran | . /10. | d () | |
| 5 | FOR (insert Party's name) | | NIKKO MATERIA albla God | US USI | ECTRONIC | S |
| 6 | | | W/ C/ W C | | | |
| 7 | 10/0/00 | | | | | |
| 8 | DATE: 10/24/03 | Signature: | Thomas | 1. RIC | | |
| 9 | , | Name (print): | THOMAS N CHIEF FINANCE | citi s | Carro E SE | ·orane V |
| 10 | | | 24919 (| VOTIC | BLUD | AEV (IN) |
| 11 | | Address: | 34929 C EASTLAKE, | OU | 44095 | |
| 12 | | | L/ISI LIINU | | ,,,,, | |
| 13 | | | | , u mardi maninin | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | · | | | | | |
| 19 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | • | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | ` | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

SEP-30-03

02:05PM

26 27

28

FROM-MUSICK, PEELER, & GARRETT LLP

2136241376

T-595 P.005/005 F-833

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States, et al. y Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site 2 3 ELDRED + KENT FOR (insert Party's name) 5 6 7 DATE: Signature: 8 Name (print): 9 Title: 10 Address 11 OF INDUSTRY 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relatin Superfund Site. | into this Consent g to the El Monte | Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al. Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley |
|----|---|--|---|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | FOR (insert Party's name) | | Johnson Controls, Inc. |
| 6 | | | 1 1// |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | DATE: <u>September 29</u> , 200 | | // |
| 9 | · | Name (print): | ferome D. Okarma |
| 10 | | Title: | Deputy General Counsel |
| 11 | | Address: | P.O. Box 591, X-32 |
| 12 | | | Milwaukee, WI 53201 |
| 13 | · | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | 65 | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., rela | into this Consent | Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et</u> |
|----------|--|--------------------|--|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | ating to the El Mo | nic Operatio out of the sair subtref |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name); | | Dolores Rodriguez, an individual |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | 0. | |
| 6 | DATE: (0,7 -2003 | Signature: | |
| 7 | | Name (print): | Dolores Rodriguez |
| 8 | | rume (pinie). | Dolotos Romiguez |
| 9 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group member |
| 10 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Address: | 10705 Beachwood Drive |
| 13 | : | | Alta Loma, California 91737 |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | ·.' | | |
| 19 | · | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | • | |
| 24 25 | | | |
| 25 26 | | | • |
| 20 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | • | | |

| | Adams Family Trust, et al., rela | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Site. | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | • | | | |
| 4 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | | Sparling Instru | uments Co., In | <u>c.</u> | |
| 5 | | | | (a California (| Corporation) | | |
| 6 | | | • | • | | | |
| 7 | <u>.</u> | | | | 1 00 | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | DATE: September 30, 2003 | | Signature: | 7 | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | Name (print): | John H. Adam | 18 | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | Title: | President | | | |
| 14 | | | , | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | Address: | 110 Mason C | rcle, Suite D | | |
| 17 | | | | Concord, CA | 94520 | **** | |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 20 | | | | · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ٠ |
| 21 | | | • | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | • | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | • | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | , | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters in al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., related Valley Superfund Site. | into this Consent ting to the El Mo | Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel |
|--------|---|--|---|
| 2 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | David Rodriguez, Jr., an individual |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | ~ · · |
| 6 | DATE: 2003 | Signature: | ··// |
| 7 8 | | Name (print): | David Rodriguez, Jr. |
| 9 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group member (8 /0). |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Address: | 1070 Beachwood Drive |
| 13 | | | Alta Loma, California 91737 |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | · | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | · | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters in al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relat Valley Superfund Site. | nto this Consen ting to the El M | t Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et</u> onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|---|----|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Harbert Grand Investment Company, LLC, a California | |
| 4 | | | limited liability company | |
| 5 | DATE: 9-26-03 | Signature: | | ٠ |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | Name (print) | : Ray Harbert | |
| 8 | · | | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group men | he |
| 9 | | Title: | OWNEL 25% | DE |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | Address: | 11706 Ramona Boulevard | |
| 12 | | | Suite 204 | |
| 13 | | | El Monte, California 91732 | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | · | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., rel Valley Superfund Site. | into this Consen ating to the El M | nt Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et Ionte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | The estate of Thalia Powell |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | DATE: 9/26/03 | Ciemotrano | |
| 6 | DATE: 1/1/4/03 | Signature: | |
| 7 | | Nome (print) |): Glen E. Powell |
| 8 | · | rame (print) | |
| 9 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group membe |
| 10 | | Tiuc. | |
| 11 | | Address: | 11706 Ramona Boulevard |
| 12 | | | Suite 200 |
| 13 | | | El Monte, California 91732 |
| 14 | · | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | 11 | | 1 |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., rel Valley Superfund Site. | into this Consen ating to the El M | nt Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et</u> Ionte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel | |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|--|----|
| | | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Larry G. Lindquist, an individual | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | DATE: 9-26-03 | Signature: | 1 7 7 7 | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | Name (print) |): <u>Larry G. Lindquist</u> | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group member 25 /o | er |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | Address: | 627 Hampton Road | |
| 13 | | | Arcadia, California 91006 | |
| 14 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 15 | · | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | • | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., re | s into this Consen lating to the El M | t Decree in the matter of onte Operable Unit of th | f <u>United States, et</u> ne San Gabriel | |
|-----|---|--|---|--|-----------|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | | | | |
| 2 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Charleen S. Lindqui | st, an individual | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | <i>n</i> | |
| 6 | DATE: 9-24-03 | Signature: | . — | | |
| 7 | | Name (print) | : Charleen S. Lindquis | st | |
| 8 | | • | <u>-</u> | | |
| 9 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Inde | astrial Park Gro | ip member |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | · | Address: | 627 Hampton Road | | |
| 13 | | | Arcadia, California | 91006 | |
| 14 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | · | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | · | | | • | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | - |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| - | | | | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters | s into this Conser lating to the El W | nt Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et Ionte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|----|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | 141111g 00 0110 21 11. | | |
| 2 | | | • | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Lyle A. Schmidt, an individual | |
| 4 | | | | • |
| 5 | DATE: Sept 26, 2003 | Signature: | | |
| 6 | | J | | |
| 7 | | Name (print) |): Lyle A. Schmidt | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group membe | ·I |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | Address: | 8111 Waynemer Way | |
| 12 | · | | Houston, Texas 77040 | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 2223 | | • | | |
| 23 24 | | • | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | ÷ | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., rela Valley Superfund Site. | into this Consent ating to the El Mo | Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et</u> onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1 | valley Superfund Site. | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Karen L. Schmidt, an individual |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | DATE: Sept 26, 2003 | Signature: | |
| 6 | Sitts. Alfa. act, Dias | 5151111111 | |
| 7 | | Name (print): | Karen L. Schmidt |
| 8 | | 4 | |
| 9 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group member |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | · | Address: | 8111 Waynemer Way |
| 12 | · | | Houston, Texas 77040 |
| 13 | | | · |
| 14 | · | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 2324 | | | |
| 2 4 25 | | | |
| 26 26 | | | |
| 20 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| .U | | | |

| | · | | | | |
|----|--|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------|
| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters in v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating Valley Superfund Site. | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | FOR | | | | |
| 4 | | | | • | |
| 5 | DATE: 10/27/03 | Signature: | | | |
| 6 | , | Name (print): | D LYNN | MACKAY | |
| 7 | | Title: | • | KS PROPERTIES | |
| 8 | | Address: | 903 E RU | UTE 66 STE. | <u>D</u> |
| 9 | | | GLENDORA | CA 91740 | |
| 10 | | | (626) 963-0 | | |
| 11 | | | FAX (626) 9 | 63-6269 | |
| 12 | | | | • | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | • |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | · | | • | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | , | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | • | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | · | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | THE UND | DERSIGNED PART mily Trust, et al., re | TY enters into this Conser elating to the El Monte Op | nt Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al. v. perable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Site. | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| | DOD (| | | |
| 4 | FOR (inse | ert Party's name): | | Ball Glass Container Corporation |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | DATE: | 9/26/03 | Signature: | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | Name (print) | : <u>Kent Bickell</u> |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | Title: | Manager, Environmental Services |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | Address: | 9300 W. 108th Circle |
| 15 | | | radiops. | |
| 16 | | | | Broomfield, CO 80021-3682 |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ^~ ' | | | | |
| 27 28 | | | | |

| | Adams Family Trust, et al., relating | | Prable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Super | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---|----------|
| 1 | Site. | | • • | \ |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Beagle Manufacturing Co., | Inc. |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | Q 20 00 | | | |
| 8 | DATE: 9-29-03 | Signature: (| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ÷ |
| | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | Name (print): | Robert S. McCracken | |
| 11 | | • | | |
| 12 | | Title: | President | |
| 13 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 14 | | Address: | 2136 Kings Crest Drive | |
| 15 | | • | West Covina, CA 91791 | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | · | |
| | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 19 20 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 | | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 | | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 | | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 | | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 | | | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters | into this Consent | t Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al. v. erable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|----|------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Site. | ne Di Monte Ope | crable Out of the San Gabrier Valley Superfund |
| 2 | | e de la companya de l | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Beagle Properties, Inc. |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | 9/99/03 | | |
| 8 | DATE: // & //0> | Signature: | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | Name (print): | Jean L. Drabble |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Title: | President |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | Address: | 300 N. Lake Ave. |
| 15 | | Tradioss. | Suite 930 |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | Pasadena, CA 91101 |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |

| The Property of the Party of th | Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to Site. | rs into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley | s, et al. v. Superfund |
|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | B. T. P. | |
| 4 5 | FOR (insert Party's name): | Brown Jordan Co | mpany |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | 0 10 0- | | |
| 8 | DATE: 9-29-03 | Signature: | |
| 9" | | | |
| 10 | | Name (print): Frank Taff | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Title: COO Brown To | danco, |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 15 | | Address: 9860 Gridley S El Monte, CA. | 51 |
| 16 | | El Monte, CA. | · |
| 17 | (本) | 91731 | |
| 18 | | | · |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | · · |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | • |
| 28 | | | |
| | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 70 | |

| | Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to the Site. | v Ldi . | | | | | | | | | P 01.14 |
|---|---|---------|------------|-------|----------|-------|------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FOR Chadbury Company, Inc., a Ca | liforn | ia corpo | ratio | n, f/k | /a Cl | hadwi | ck-He | elmutl | ı Co | mpany, |
| | Inc. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | · - | 1 |
| | DATE: 25 Sept 2013 | Sign | ature: | vv- | <u> </u> | · ,, | - / V | | · | v = | ~~~ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Nan | ne: Willia | am H | I. Ch | adwi | ck | | | | |
| 1 | | Title | : Pre | sid | ent | 4 | | | | | |
| | | | ress: | | | | Drive | | | | |
| - | | 4 KUU | : | | | | nde, C | Δ 03 | 3420 | | |
| | | | | Д | ioyo | Oran | ide, C | A J | 7420 | | |
| | | | | | | | | • | | | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | , | | | | | |
| I | | | | | | | | | | | |
| l | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ٠ | | | - | | | | | |
| l | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to Site. | s into this Conse the El Monte O | nt Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et al. v.</u> perable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Ditc. | | |
| 2 | FOR Chadwick Associates, a Calif | ornia partnersh | ip |
| 3 | | · | |
| 4 | DATE: 25 Sept 2003 | Signature: _ | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | Name: | William H. Chadwick |
| 7 | | Title: Ger | resal Partner |
| 8 | | Address: | 102 Andre Drive |
| 9 | | | Arroyo Grande, CA 93420 |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | DATE: 25 Sept. 2003 | Signature: | • |
| 12 | | C | |
| 13 | | Name: | John W. Chadwick |
| 14 | | Title: <u>Gen</u> | reral Partner |
| 15 | | Address: | 73 Hidden Valley Road |
| 16 | | | Monrovia, CA 91016 |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | • |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |

| | Adams | NDERSIGNED PARTY Family Trust, et al., relati | enters into this Consering to the El Monte Op | nt Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al. v. perable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|-----|-------|--|---|--|
| 1 | Site. | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | FOR: | Clayton Industries A (| California Corporatio | on: |
| 5 | | | _ | |
| 6 | DATE | : September 29, 2003 | Signatura | |
| 7 | | . Septemoei 23, 2003 | Signature: | |
| . 8 | | | Name: | John S. Clayton |
| 9 | | | Title: | President |
| 10 | | | Address: | Clayton Industries a California Corporation |
| 11 | | | | 4213 N. Temple City Blvd |
| 12 | | | | El Monte, California 91731 |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | ·. |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | • | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | 73 | |

| | Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to t | into this Consent the El Monte Ope | t Decree in the matter of <u>United States, ct al. v.</u> erable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Site. | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | FOR (in a 4 Postada a sus) | | CT AVMON I AND HOLDING GO TWO |
| 5 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | CLAYTON LAND HOLDING CO., INC. |
| 6 | | | |
| | | | |
| 7 | DATE: September 26, 2003 | Signature: | |
| 8 | - | | |
| 9 | | Name (print): | : ANDREW MacKENZIE |
| 10 | | - to | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Title: | Vice President |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | Address: | 402 North Division Street |
| 15 | | | Carson City, Nevada 89703 |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | • | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| ~~ | | $\neg \iota \iota$ | |

| | al. v. Adams Family Trust. | Y enters into this C et al., relating to th | onsent Decree in the matter of <u>Ualler</u> e El Monte Operable Unit of | Inited States, et |
|----|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | | o 21 Monte Operable Omt of | me san Gabriei |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | • | | |
| 6 | FOR (insert Party's name) | | FAIRCHILD HOLDING | CORP. |
| 7 | | | • | · |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | DATE: 10-7-03 | | | |
| 10 | DATE: | Signatur | e: | |
| 11 | | Name (p | rint):Donald E. Miller | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 12 | | Title: | Vice President | |
| 13 | | Address: | 45025 Aviation D | rive |
| 14 | | Tradious. | Dulles, VA 2016 | |
| 15 | | | Dulles, VA 20100 |) |
| | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | · | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | • | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | • |
| 28 | | 7 | 5 | • |

| | v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to the | El Monte | Onerable U | Init of the | San Gabr | iel Vallev | <u>l.</u> | |
|----|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| 1 | Superfund Site. | DI 11101140 | Operation C | omt or tho | Dan Ouor | ioi viino, | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | i |
| 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | •/ | 4.4 | 1 | 4.0 | | |
| 5 | FOR (insert Party's name) | | NIKKO / | MATERIA | 125 US | H, Inc. | | |
| 6 | | | a/8/ | u 900 | 020 20 | ECI RUI | 0,02 | |
| 7 | , , | | - | | | | | |
| 8 | DATE: 10/24/03 Signa | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Name | (print): | THO | MAS N | 1. RIC | -H | | |
| 10 | Title: | * | THOI CHIEF | FINANK | JAL O | HICEP ? | SECRE | MRY |
| 11 | Addre | | 349: | 29 C | URT15 | BLVD 4409 | | |
| 12 | | | EAST | LAKE, | Он | 4409 | 5 | |
| 13 | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | ı. |
| 17 | · | | | | | ŧ | | |
| 18 | | | | | | ` | | |
| 19 | | | • | | | | | |
| 20 | | | ••• | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | 7/ | | | | | | |
| | ii | T6 | | | | | | 1 |

| al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site. FOR (insert Party's name) FOR (insert Party's name) DATE: DCT 1, 2003 Signature: Name (print): Title: Vice President Address: Losemend, CA. 91 Research, CA. 91 18 | uar |
|--|------------------------------|
| FOR (insert Party's name) FOR (insert Party's name) HCC Industries Industri | uar |
| FOR (insert Party's name) If Cc | uar |
| FOR (insert Party's name) FOR (insert Party's name) | uar |
| 5 POR (insert any statute) 6 7 8 DATE: Oct 1, 2003 Signature: 9 10 Title: Vice President 11 Address: 4232 Temple City & Resemble CA. 91 13 14 15 16 17 | uar — |
| 7 8 DATE: Oct 1, 2003 Signature: Name (print): 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | - war - 31/l 170 |
| 8 DATE: Oct 1, 2003 Signature: Name (print): Chvis tophor H. Bata 10 Vice President 11 Address: 4232 Temple City & Rosemend, CA. 91 13 14 15 16 17 | - war - 312 170 |
| Name (print): Chvistopher A. Bate Vice President Address: 4232 Temple City & Resement, CA. 91 13 14 15 16 17 | uar - 31/L 170 |
| Address: 4232 Temple City & Resement, CA. 91 13 14 15 16 17 | - 31N 170 |
| Address: 4232 Temple City & Resemble City & Re | 31NC |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | 770 |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | → ′ |
| 15 16 17 | _ |
| 16 17 | _ |
| 17 | |
| | |
| 18 | |
| | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 25 | |
| 26 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| | v. Adams Family Trust, et al., rela | ers into this Consent ating to the El Monte | Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et al.</u> Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Superfund Site. | | |
| 2 | | | · |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | FOR (insert Party's name) | | Johnson Controls, Inc. |
| 5 | Tore (misere rarey s name) | | |
| 6 | | | - 1// |
| 7 | DATE: <u>September 29,</u> 2 | on Signature: | |
| 8 | Deptember 27, 2 | | Jerome D. Okarma |
| 9 . | | Title: | Deputy General Counsel |
| 11 | | Address: | P.O. Box 591, X-32 |
| 12 | | | Milwaukee, WI 53201 |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | • | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | • | |
| 18 | · | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | • . | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | · | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | # | |) |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | 78 | |

| \$ | | <u>.</u> | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY on | ters into this Consent D | serve in the matter of United States, et |
| K | al M. Adams Family Trust, et al., Valley Superfind Site. | relating to the El Mon | to Operable Unit of the San Galoriel |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | FOR | | |
| 4 | DATE: 9-25-03 | | |
| 5 | DATE: 9-23-05 | Signature: | DAV/ 17-1 |
| 6 | | Name (print): | The second secon |
| 7 | | Title: | 9264 STEZ-LF- ST |
| 8 | | Address: | 9264 STZZ-LF ST Rosemend CA91776 |
| 9 | | milater ngg T | KUCHEAG CIT 11170 |
| 10 | The first of the Section of the American Section (Section Section Sect | The Company of the Co | and the environment of the property of the first of the contract of the contra |
| 11 | | - | THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACT O |
| 12 13 | services | | |
| 14 | a tradition with the second | | |
| 15 | | | Constant the Constant |
| 16 | | more was stopping to the property of the prope | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | · | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

| | Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to | s into this Consent the El Monte Ope | t Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et al. v.</u> erable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|----|---|---|--|
| 1 | Site. | | |
| 2 | · | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | FOR: | | M. C. Gill Corporation |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | * • | |
| 7 | DATE G . 1 05 0000 | a: | |
| 8 | DATE: September 25, 2003 | Signature: | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | Name (print): | Kenneth A. Boudreau |
| 11 | | • | |
| 12 | | Title: | Chief Executive Officer |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | Address: | 4056 Easy Street |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | El Monte, California 91731 |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | _ | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | 80 | |

| | perable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Supe |
|--------------|---|
| | |
| | |
| | • |
| | |
| | Miller Dia Coap |
| | Miller Viac Copp |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Signature: | |
| 4 | you |
| | : PHUIC RUTTEN |
| Name (print) | : THILL KUTTEN |
| | |
| TT*At | CEO |
| litte: | |
| | |
| Address | 4400 No Tours Com |
| TAGET USD. | 4400 N. Temple City &c Morse CA 917 |
| | EC Morse (4 9/7 |
| | en e |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| E O | en e |
| | |
| | 4 |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to | this Consent | Decree in the matte | r of <u>United States</u> , | et al. |
|-----|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | to the En Mon | te Operable Omit | of the San Gabri | C1 |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | FOR | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | • |
| 5 | DATE: 10/27/03 S | ignature: | | | - |
| 6 | <i>y</i> | lame (print): | D LYNN | MACKAY | |
| 7 | Т | itle: | SEC PARK | S PROPERTIE | 5 INC |
| . 8 | A | ddress: | SEL PARK 903 E RUL | ITE 66 STE | <u>D</u> |
| 9 | | | GLENDORA | CA 91740 | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | • |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | * . |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | , | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | • | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | 4 | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| - 1 | 1 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters in Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to the Site. | nto this Consent ne El Monte Ope | Decree in the matter of <u>United</u> Teacher Unit of the San Gal | United States, et al. v. Driel Valley Superfund |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Perkin Elmer, | Inc. |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | DATE: 9/29/03 | Signature: | | |
| 8 | | | | 0 |
| 9 | | Name (m. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. | Tolor / Wa | -/ |
| 10 | | Name (print): | John L. He | ary |
| 11 | | 1 A | | |
| 12 | | Title: | Associate Ge | eneral Counsel |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | Address: | PerkinElmer | Legal Depti |
| 15 | | | 45 Willian | m Street |
| 16 | | | 45 William We Hesley | MA |
| 17 | | in | | DIURI |
| 18 | | | | V & 101 |
| 19 | | • | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | · | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | · | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et | | | | | |
|----|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--|
| 2 | al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., | relating to the | El Monte Operable Unit | of the San Gabriel | | |
| 3 | Valley Superfund Site. | | | 7 | | |
| 4 | | | | / | | |
| 5 | FOR: Birtcher Medical Systems, I | nc. | | | | |
| 6 | DATE: September 2003 | Signature: | | . | | |
| 7 | | Name: | Daniel S. Jonas | | | |
| 8 | | Title: | Authorized Agent | | | |
| 9 | | Address: | ConMed Corporation | | | |
| 10 | · | | 525 French Road Utica, New York 13502 | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | Agent Authorized to Accept Service | e on Behalf of the | he Above-signed Party: | | | |
| 13 | | Name: | Daniel S. Jonas | | | |
| 14 | | Title: | Authorized Agent | | | |
| 15 | | Address: | ConMed Corporation 525 French Road | | | |
| 16 | | | Utica, New York 13502 | | | |
| 17 | | Ph. Number: | | | | |
| 18 | . * | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | • | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |

-LA/722865.

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters in v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating Superfund Site. | | | |
|----|---|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 2 | · | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | , | | | |
| 5 | FOR (insert Party's name) | | PLATO PRODUCTS, I | <u>'</u> NC . |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | DATE: 9/29/03 | Signature: | .5. | |
| 9 | | Name (print): | WILLIAM D. ELDR | |
| 10 | | Title: | VICE PRESIDENT | |
| 11 | | Address: | 18731 RAILROADS | |
| 12 | | | CITY OF INDUSTRY | <u></u> |
| 13 | | | CA 91748 | · · · · · |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | • | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | , | | |
| 19 | · | | | |
| 20 | | ` | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters in v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating Superfund Site. | into this Consent I g to the El Monte | Decree in the mate Operable Unit of | the San Gabriel | Valley |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|--------|
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | · | | | | |
| 4 | | | 1/ | | |
| 5 | FOR (insert Party's name) | | KENE | L, INC | |
| 6 | , | | | | |
| 7 | 9/29/03 | | | | |
| 8 | DATE: 7/21/02 | Signature: | 14/. | 2 5 | |
| 9 | | Name (print): | | M D. EL PRESID | |
| 10 | | Title: | | | |
| 11 | | Address: | _ | PAILRO SF INDO | |
| 12 | | | | 91748 | 131169 |
| 13 | | | CA | 11 / 40 | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | • | | • | |
| 17 | | 1 | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | • | · . | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 2324 | | | • | | |
| 25 | | • | | | |
| 26 | | | • | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | 2/ | | | |
| 20 | | 86 85 | | | |
| | п | | | | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters i v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relating Superfund Site. | | | |
|----|--|--------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | FOR (insert Party's name) | | ELDRED + KEN | <u>T</u> |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | 0/00/07 | | | |
| 8 | DATE: 9/29/03 | Signature: | - | |
| 9 | | Name (print) | | |
| 10 | | Title: | GENERAL PART 18731 RAILROAD | NER |
| 11 | | Address: | 18731 RAILROAD | D ST. |
| 12 | | | CITY OF INDU | ISTRY |
| 13 | | | CA 91748 | 18 ST SHIP |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | • | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | • | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al. v. <u>Adams Family Trust</u> , et al., relating to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|----|--|
| 1 | Site. |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | FOR (insert Party's name): |
| 5 | √ O |
| 6 | |
| 7 | DATE: 9/29/03 Signature: |
| 8 | |
| 9 | \mathcal{D} – |
| 10 | Name (print): BERT Spering |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Title: TRESIDENT |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Address: |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Precision Coil Spring Company |
| 17 | 10107 ROSE AVENUE EL MONTE, CA 91731 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | 88 |
| 1 | ι |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enter Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to | s into this Conse the El Monte O | nt Decree in the matter of <u>United</u> perable Unit of the San Gabriel | <u>l States, et al. v.</u> Valley Superfund |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Site. | • | | |
| 2 | | ta e | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | FOR: | B. J. SABIN | r F | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | / | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | DATE: September 25, 2003 | Signature: | 7 | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | Name: | B. J. Sabin | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | Title: | An Individual | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | Address: | 145 Alamo Hills Court | |
| 15 | | 1 tadi obs. | | |
| 16 | | | Alamo, CA 94507 | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | N 4+ | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | 11 | 29 | | |

| 1 | Site. | | Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|----|--------------------------|------------|---|
| i | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | · | | |
| 4 | FOR: | SABIN CO | INSTRUCTION, INC. |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | · • |
| 7 | DATE: September 25, 2003 | Signature: | |
| 8 | • | | |
| 9 | | Name: | B. J. Sabin |
| 10 | | ranc. | D. J. Saom |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Title: | President |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | Address: | 145 Alamo Hills Court |
| 15 | | | Alamo, CA 94507 |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | *** | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |

| | 4 | | | | |
|----------|--|--------------------|----------------|---|--------------|
| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY e | enters into this (| Consent Decree | in the matter of <u>Unite</u> | d States, et |
| 2 | al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., Valley Superfund Site. | relating to the i | El Monte Opera | ble Unit of the San Ga | ibriel |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | • | | | | |
| 5 | FOR: SAFETY-KLEEN SYSTE a Wisconsin Corporation | MS, INC., | | | |
| 6 | a wassenshi corporation | | | | |
| 7 | ol al a | | | | |
| 8 | DATE: 92903 | | Signature: | V | |
| 9 | | | Name (print): | Virgil W. Duffie, III | • |
| 10 | | | Title: | Secretary and Senior Counsel | Corporate |
| 11 | | | Address: | 5400 Legacy Drive | |
| 12 | | | | Cluster II, Bldg. 3 Plano, Texas 75024 | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | ş | | | | • |
| 16 17 | · | • | | | |
| 18 | | * . | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | • | | |
| 23 | | | | - | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | • | | |

9/

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Cons Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to the El Monte Cons | ent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al. v. |
|----------|--|--|
| 1 , | Site. | operation of the San Gustler variety Superioral |
| 2 | 2 | en e |
| 3 | 3 | |
| 4 | FOR: TRAIL CH | EMICAL CORPORATION |
| . 5 | - | /1 |
| 6 | DATE: September 29, 2003 Signature: | |
| 7 | ' ∬ | A William I D. |
| 8 | | t): William J. Peters |
| 9 | | Chairman of the Board |
| 10 | Address: | 9904 Gidley Street |
| 11 | | El Monte, CA 91731 |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | Å. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | <u> </u> | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 9) | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters Adams Family Trust, et al., relating to Site. | s into this Conser the El Monte O ₁ | nt Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al. v. perable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund |
|--------|--|---|--|
| 1 | Site. | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPAN |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 8 | DATE: 9-29-03 | Signature: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 9 | | 0 | |
| 10 | | Name (print) | : J. MICHAEL HEMMER |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Title: | VICE PRESIDENT-LAW |
| 13 | | | V100 1100-1-0101 - 11.10 |
| 14 | | 4 1.1 | Marie A DARGE A NAMED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN |
| 15 | | Address: | UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY |
| 16 | | | 1416 DODGE STREET |
| 17 | | | ROOM 830 |
| 18 | | | OMAHA, NE 68179 |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | • | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | • | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | 1 | 93 | • |

| 4 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et al.</u> , relating to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel | | | | | |
|----|---|---------------|--|----------|--|--|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | : | Harbert Grand Investment Company, LLC, a California | | | |
| 4 | | | limited liability company | | | |
| 5 | DATE: 9-26-03 | Signature: | . n n | | | |
| 6 | | 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | Name (print): | Ray Harbert | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Grou | ip membe | | |
| 10 | | Timo. | | | | |
| 11 | ÷ | Address: | 11706 Ramona Boulevard | | | |
| 12 | | ridaross. | Suite 204 | | | |
| 13 | | | El Monte, California 91732 | | | |
| 14 | | | El Mone, Camorna 71732 | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | · | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | • | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | 94 | | | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters in al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., relat | nto this Consent ing to the El Mo | Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et</u> onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 2 | · | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Larry G. Lindquist, an individual |
| 4 | | | .' |
| 5 | DATE: 9-26-03 | G. | |
| 6. | DATE: 7-26-03 | Signature: | 1 1 1 |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | Name (print): | Larry G. Lindquist |
| 9 | | | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group mem |
| 10 | | Title: | OWNER 25% |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Address: | 627 Hampton Road |
| 13 | | | Arcadia, California 91006 |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., re | | Decree in the matter of <u>United States, et</u> onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel | |
|----|--|---------------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Charleen S. Lindquist, an individual | |
| 4 | | • | | |
| 5 | · | | | |
| 6 | DATE: 9-24-03 | Signature: | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | Name (print): | Charleen S. Lindquist | |
| 9 | | • | |) |
| 10 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Gro | oup membe |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | Address: | 627 Hampton Road | |
| 13 | | | Arcadia, California 91006 | |
| | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | r' | | | |
| 18 | | 9 | | |
| 19 | | | | *** |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | • • | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |

| | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et al., relating to the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel | | | |
|----|---|---------------|--|----|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | | | :. |
| 2 | TOD (" A Devil A Devil A | | David Badrianaz Ir. on individual | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | David Rodriguez, Jr., an individual | |
| 4 | | | | i. |
| 5 | 1 t 76 2002 | G : | | |
| 6 | DATE: Sept 120, 2003 | Signature: | | |
| 7 | | NT CONNE | David Dadriana Ta | |
| 8 | | Name (print): | David Rodriguez, Jr. | |
| 9 | | | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group mem | be |
| 10 | | Title: | Cocemen (8 10). | |
| 11 | • | | 5 e. | |
| 12 | | Address: | 1070 Beachwood Drive | |
| 13 | | | Alta Loma, California 91737 | |
| 14 | | | | : |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | ٠. |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | ÷ |
| 27 | | | | |

| 1 | al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., re Valley Superfund Site. | elating to the El Mo | onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel |
|----------|---|----------------------|---|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Dolores Rodriguez, an individual |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | • |
| 6 | DATE: 6 1 2003 | Signature: | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | Name (print): | Dolores Rodriguez |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group member |
| 11 | ` | | |
| 12 | | Address: | 10705 Beachwood Drive |
| 13 | | | Alta Loma, California 91737 |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | · | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 28 | | aa | |
| ۷٥. | | 98 | |
| | | 96 | |
| • | | • | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., rela Valley Superfund Site. | into this Consenting to the El M | t Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Glen E. Powell, an individual |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | DATE: 9/26/03 | Signature: | |
| 6 | | <u> </u> | |
| 7 | | Name (print): | : Glen E. Powell |
| 8 | | | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group membe |
| 9 | | Title: | Owner 25/6 |
| 10 | | • | |
| 11 | | Address: | 11706 Ramona Boulevard |
| 12 | | • | Suite 200 |
| 13 | | | El Monte, California 91732 |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | • | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 2425 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | 99 | |

| 1 | THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., rela Valley Superfund Site. | | t Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel | |
|----|---|--------------|---|-----|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | The estate of Thalia Powell | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | DATE: 9/26/03 | Signature: | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | Name (print) | : Glen E. Powell | |
| 8 | | | Grand Avenue Industri@1 Park Group memb | |
| 9 | | Title: | Owner 25 | , E |
| 10 | | · | | |
| 11 | | Address: | 11706 Ramona Boulevard | |
| 12 | | | Suite 200 | |
| 13 | | | El Monte, California 91732 | |
| 14 | | | <u>-10-</u> | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | ; | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | · | | |
| 23 | | | | |

| FOR (insert Party's name): | | Lyle A. Schmidt, an individual | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------|
| 2 Or (moore rary o name). | | 2,2011. Commun, an marvidua | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| DATE: Sept 26, 2003 | Signature: | | |
| | | | |
| | Name (print) | : Lyle A. Schmidt | |
| | | | |
| | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Gro | oup me |
| | | | |
| | Address: | 8111 Waynemer Way | |
| | | Houston, Texas 77040 | |
| | | ************************************** | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | en e | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | , | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 101 | | |

| | <u>al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al., rela</u> | into this Consen ting to the El M | t Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> , et onte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel | |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|---|-------|
| 1 | Valley Superfund Site. | | one of the orange of the part | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | FOR (insert Party's name): | | Karen L. Schmidt, an individual | |
| 4 | | | | |
| | / | : | • | |
| 5 | DATE: Sept 24, 2003 | Signature: | 7 · T | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | Name (print): | Karen L. Schmidt | |
| 8 | | 4 | | |
| 9 | | T:41 | Consid Assessed Todaystorical Devil Consumers | |
| 10 | | Title: | Grand Avenue Industrial Park Group me | эпре: |
| 11 | | | | |
| | | Address: | 8111 Waynemer Way | |
| 12 | | | Houston, Texas 77040 | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| | | *. | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | - | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 43 | | | | 1 |